



Monday, 15 November 2021

DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE

A meeting of the Development Control Committee will be held on **TUESDAY, 23 NOVEMBER 2021** in the Council Chamber, Ebley Mill, Ebley Wharf, Stroud at **6.00 pm**

Kathy O'Leary
Chief Executive

Please Note: The meeting is being held in the Council Chamber at Stroud District Council and will be streamed live on the Council's [YouTube Channel](#). A recording of the meeting will be published onto the [Council's website](#). The whole of the meeting will be recorded except where there are confidential or exempt items, which may need to be considered in the absence of press and public.

Due to current Covid-19 regulations a maximum of 6 members of public will be permitted in the Council Chamber at any one time, if you would like to attend this meeting please contact democratic.services@stroud.gov.uk.

AGENDA

1. **APOLOGIES**
To receive apologies of absence.
2. **MINUTES (Pages 3 - 12)**
To approve the minutes of the meeting held on 12 October 2021.
3. **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**
To receive Declarations of Interest in relation to planning matters.
4. **PLANNING SCHEDULE AND PROCEDURE FOR PUBLIC SPEAKING (Pages 13 - 18)**
(Note: For access to information purposes, the background papers for the applications listed in the above schedule are the application itself and subsequent papers as listed in the relevant file.)

4.1 LITTLECOMBE ZONE E, LISTER ROAD, DURSLEY, GLOUCESTERSHIRE (S.20/2098/FUL) (Pages 19 - 62)

Residential development comprising 28 dwellings together with public open space, access, parking, landscaping and associated works.

4.2 CAMBRIDGE AVENUE, DURSLEY, GLOUCESTERSHIRE (S.21/1025/FUL) (Pages 63 - 94)

Demolition of existing buildings and erection of thirteen affordable dwellings, with landscaping, parking and associated works.

Members of Development Control Committee

Councillor Martin Baxendale (Chair)

Councillor Martin Brown
Councillor Jason Bullingham
Councillor Helen Fenton
Councillor Victoria Gray
Councillor Haydn Jones

Councillor Trevor Hall (Vice-Chair)

Councillor Loraine Patrick
Councillor Mattie Ross
Councillor Mark Ryder
Councillor Lucas Schoemaker
Councillor Ashley Smith



STROUD DISTRICT COUNCIL

Council Offices • Ebley Mill • Ebley Wharf • Stroud • GL5 4UB

Tel: (01453) 754 351/754 321

www.stroud.gov.uk

Email: democratic.services@stroud.gov.uk

DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE

12 October 2021

6.00 - 10.34 pm

Council Chamber - SDC

Minutes

Membership

Councillor Martin Baxendale (Chair)

Councillor Chris Brine
Councillor Helen Fenton
Councillor Victoria Gray
Councillor Haydn Jones
Councillor Martin Brown

*= Absent

Councillor Trevor Hall (Chair)*

Councillor Loraine Patrick
Councillor Mark Ryder
Councillor Lucas Schoemaker
Councillor Ashley Smith
Councillor Jason Bullingham

*

*

Officers in Attendance

Majors & Environment Team Manager
Planner
Lawyer - One Legal
Senior Planning Officer
GCC Highways Officer

Head of Development Management
Chief Planning Lawyer - One Legal
Democratic Services & Elections Officer
Principal Planning Officer (Majors)
Housing Strategy and CIL Manager

Other Member(s) in Attendance

Councillors Craig, Green, Housden and Ross

DCC.17 Apologies

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Hall, Brown and Bullingham.

DCC.18 Declarations of Interest

There were none.

DCC.19 Minutes

Councillor Patrick requested that the minutes in DCC.016 correctly reflect her question raised regarding barn 1 previously being suitable to house cattle. She requested that the minutes be amended to reflect that she asked to see the plans for the barn before asking

Agenda Item 2

2021/22

whether the barn was suitable for livestock due to the hatched area marked for cattle shown on the plans.

RESOLVED That the Minutes of the meeting held on 2 September 2021 were approved with the amendments laid out above.

DCC.20 Planning Schedule and Procedure for Public Speaking

Representations were received and taken into account by the Committee in respect of Applications:

1	S.20/2161/FUL	2	S.21/1713/FUL	3	S.21/1381/FUL
---	---------------	---	---------------	---	---------------

Late Pages relating to Scheduled Item 4.1 had been circulated to Committee prior to the meeting and were also made available during the meeting.

The Chair also proposed to move Item 4.2 The Old Granary, Wanswell, Berkeley to the end of the meeting so it would be taken after item 5.

DCC.21 The Berryfield Sports Field, Stonehouse (S.20/2161/FUL)

The Principal Planning Officer introduced the report and showed the committee aerial shots of the site, the outline of the site and the development plans for the site.

He then talked through the characteristics of the site, areas for consideration and explained that the Officer recommendation was to approve the application subject to conditions and a S106 agreement. The Principal Planning Officer also drew the Members attention to the changes outlined in the Late Pages submitted.

He explained in detail the key issues for the Committee to consider which included:

- Provision of 100% affordable housing stock and the weight applied to this benefit.
- Provision of sports pitches and open space.
- Impact of the development on the character of the area.
- Ecological impact on the nature reserve containing the great crested newt population.
- Impact on residential amenity in particular to properties along the Bristol Road.
- General environmental impacts such as flood risk and impact on surface water drainage.
- Highways safety, impact with access onto Bristol Road.

Councillor Housden spoke as a Ward Member for Stonehouse, he asked the committee to reject the application and laid out his reasons which included:

- Application conflicts with Local Plan Policy CP3.
- The Council had already approved 1,350 homes in 2016 which were still currently under development in Great Oldbury, Stonehouse.
- Application contradicts the Stonehouse Neighbourhood Development Plan (SNDP) which was adopted by Stroud District Council (SDC) in February 2018.
- During the development for the SNDP, which was completed in 2017, a call for suitable sites was requested and Wycliffe College were consulted. No suitable sites were put forward by Wycliffe College at that time.
- Contradicts the Local Plan Policy ES13 – the whole or partial loss of open space within settlements.

2021/22

- The promise of community use of its future facilities was questionable as several local organisations had already been denied use of the current facilities.
- Application falls below standards laid out in Policy H2 of the SNDP for providing off street parking.
- Access to the development would cross over the existing cycle lane.
- SNDP page 47 – Play spaces should be provided or in lieu, financial contributions made. ES15 also states similar requirements.
- SNDP page 55 - 5.3 Protect and enhance green spaces & 5.4 Protect and enhance the heritage and wildlife assets.
- The development does not meet requirements of Local Plan Policies ES6, ES13, SA2 and of National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) policies 171 and 174.
- SNDP Policy ENV6 regarding views and vistas.
- Highways concerns over the access and increased use of the road.

Councillor Ross also spoke as a Ward Member for Stonehouse, she described the first application made by Wycliffe in 1994 and explained it was refused due to the strength of feeling in the community and the overwhelming support for the field and the views. She informed the Committee during the development of the SNDP, Wycliffe's Operations Manager was consulted and provided assurance that there were no plans to release any of the field for development. Less than 10 years later they had submitted the application without any public consultation. She expressed that the application had not taken any of the public's feelings into account in particular the impact on the nearby residents and would not benefit the community. She expressed concerns with the access stating that it was dangerous. She drew the committee's attention to the fact that only 16 of the 52 houses 'may' be available for rental. She asked the committee to reject the application for those reasons.

Town Councillor Gary Powell spoke on behalf of the Stonehouse Town Council. He explained that Park Estate, Ryelands Road, Little Australia, Verney Fields, Boakes Drive Estate, Court View, Arrowsmith Drive, Great Oldbury and half of Bridgend had been built on green land since 1948. He expressed his concerns for the current sports field under threat of development and questioned whether Wycliffe could sell other assets in order to raise funds for their pavilion. He then expressed his wishes to keep the beautiful scenery and uninterrupted views that the Berryfields provide to Stonehouse.

Claire Cleave, a local resident spoke in opposition of the application and expressed her concerns which included:

- The whole of The Berryfields is protected outdoor play space.
- Potential damage to their foundations due to the close proximity of the proposed road and the impact of noise and fumes.
- Would have created a lack of privacy in their home.
- Highways concerns and issues.
- Adverse impact on Nature.
- Fly-tipping.
- Open car park being used inappropriately.
- Risk of graffiti on boundary walls.
- Security risk opening ground floor windows.
- Huge stress caused to residents.

Agenda Item 2

2021/22

Glyn Davis, a local resident, expressed his concerns over the highways safety issues as a nearby resident and as a previously employed Principal Highways & Road Safety Officer. His concerns included:

- Gloucestershire County Council had not followed conventional methods to assess junction capacity. The data accepted was not a true reflection as it was gathered during the school holidays and avoided peak times.
- The potential danger of the junction with accidents likely to increase in intensity and severity if the application was approved.
- Consultant engineers had not collected basic data for road safety and GCC Highways had not demanded the information from the applicant.
- Cost of housing needs to be balanced against the road safety issues and the effect it would have on the local community.
- Over 280 objections to this application had been submitted.

Craig Macdonald, Head of Development for the Guinness Partnership, spoke in support of the application. He reiterated that the entirety of the homes within this application were affordable homes. He informed the committee that over 500 affordable homes are required per annum within the Stroud District. He expressed the desperate need for new and affordable homes. He stated that the land in question was inaccessible to the public and hadn't been used by the college for some time but with the new development it would improve the recreational offering of the space. He stated that the development was designed to complement the character of the area and to provide sensitivity to current residential dwellings. They had also created a green corridor along the western boundary to provide an informal open space and minimise the impact of the development.

Councillor Brine informed the committee that when the application was first issued he was not a member of the Development Control Committee and that as a resident of Stonehouse, he sent a letter of objection. He explained he had not been involved with the application since and had come to the committee with an open mind to listen to all the information provided to make an informed decision.

Councillor Jones asked questions regarding highways and received answers on the following topics:

- Alternative access through the existing roundabout could not be considered as this was not submitted with the application.
- The applicant had submitted comprehensive traffic surveys and evidence which GCC Highways had considered.

The Highways Officer answered questions regarding the requirement of a ghost lane, the increase in traffic on the existing roads and access. The Highways Officer also advised that they appraise every application and base this on their own evidence and carry out internal checks.

Councillor Ryder questioned whether they could request a condition to be added to ensure that both parts of the development are delivered. The Principal Planning Officer informed the committee that the condition would not be appropriate considering the six tests for conditions and that he was confident both developments would take place simultaneously.

Agenda Item 2

2021/22

Councillor Brine asked whether the application had gone far enough to meet the 2030 strategy and whether the Committee could just deal with the recreation element today. The Principal Planning Officer advised the developer had committed to a fabric first approach which would deliver between 4-10% over current building regulations in terms of efficiency and that the development was before the Committee as a whole.

Councillor Patrick asked for confirmation regarding the number of social housing units on the site. It was confirmed that a proportion of houses would be for social rent and the remainder will be for shared ownership, there were 2 options outlined in the draft S106 agreement. The options were that the site would either have 30% affordable housing or 100% affordable housing, the developer had advised that if they go with 100% affordable housing there would be 15 social rent and 37 shared ownership units.

Councillor Smith voiced his concerns over the ecological impact of removing the open space and questioned whether the development would bring an ecological gain. The Principal Planning Officer explained that the creation of the green corridor would provide fruit, swales, planting and allow species to move through the area safely which was the ecological gain.

After a question raised from the Chair, the Principal Planning Officer explained why the Greater Crested Newt (GCN) population was considered as unviable.

Councillor Schoemaker questioned whether they could increase the proportion of social rented houses. The Housing Strategy and CIL Manager explained that they were unable to demand a higher percentage of social housing as it would affect the funding. She explained that the Council's policy was for 30% affordable housing and that was what would be referenced as required in the s106 agreement as set out at page 70 of the Agenda. If the s106 required above this, then Homes England's position would be that they would not provide funding for the 100% proposal. She also reassured the Committee that only around 3% of shared ownership properties were ever purchased.

Councillor Gray questioned how the application protected and enhanced the green spaces currently available as set out in the Local Plan. To which the Principal Planning Officer informed the Committee that they had mitigated the loss of the green space by providing the green corridor/informal open space. The majority of the site was currently not publicly available, nonetheless but there was still the question regarding views and impact on that of the loss of an open field.

Councillor Patrick received answers on the following topics:

- Consideration regarding pollution had been given to the existing residential properties where the Principal Planning Officer had identified an impact on their residential amenity
- The effect of the low level planting on privacy to the existing dwellings.
- The proposed green corridor would not provide habitat for the Great Crested Newts, through the Great Crested Newt Licensing Scheme a financial sum would be provided to benefit populations in the rest of the District.

Councillor Ryder received answers around the oversight of the management company employed and securing the costs surrounding that for the residents. It was confirmed that the management company would be secured under the S106 agreement however there was a condition included in the report that states that the development complies with the

Agenda Item 2

2021/22

landscape and ecological management plan which would set out the requirements. The Chief Planning Lawyer confirmed that further details could be added to the S106 to include provisions that the management company should be set up before the houses are sold.

Councillor Jones expressed his concerns with highway safety and questioned why the figures weren't checked by the Highways Authority. The Highways Officer confirmed they had checked the data provided to them and for that level of development, that was sufficient enough evidence.

The Chair proposed refusal, Councillor Brine seconded.

The reasons for refusal were outlined as follows by Councillor Brine and the Chair:

- Issues with access and highways
- Loss of views
- Loss of sports facilities
- Garden provision does not meet 20 square metre stated in design statement
- Non-compliance with the SNDP
- Non-compliance with Local Plan policies ES13 and ES6.

Councillor Brine stated that the issues with access had not been addressed and that alternatives had not been considered appropriately. He stated that there were other sites within the District that could accommodate the affordable housing that was needed.

Members discussed the following issues:

- Access of the site
- History of the Berryfield sites
- Build-up of traffic waiting to leave the site
- Privacy of existing residential dwellings
- Lack of public consultation
- Highways concerns
- Biodiversity and harm to wildlife.

Councillor Smith debated whether the Ecological aspect could form part of the reasons for refusal.

After debating the issue and receiving advice from the Head of Development Management, the Chair withdrew his suggestion to use Highways Objections in the refusal proposal, the seconder agreed.

The Councillors debated and reviewed the reasons for refusal and amended them to be:

- Non-Compliance with Local Plan Policy ES13 – open spaces and character of the area.
- Non-Compliance with Local Plan Policy ES6 – Biodiversity.
- Non-Compliance with SNDP including ENV 6 and the impact on the view from the AONB.
- Non-Compliance with NPPF 171, 174a & 174b.

It was also proposed by the Chair that the final wording for refusal would be delegated to the Head of Development Management in Consultation with the Chair and Vice-Chair.

After being put to a vote, the Motion for refusal was carried with 8 votes in favour and 1 against.

RESOLVED To REFUSE permission for application S.20/2161/FUL with refusal reasons to be delegated to the Head of Development Management in consultation with the Chair and Vice-Chair.

DCC.22 Land at Rear of 1 Clifton Villas, Uplands, Stroud (S.21/1381/FUL)

The Senior Planning Officer introduced the report and informed the Committee of the main concerns with the application which included:

- Design and layout
- Residential amenities
- Highways.

Andrew Heath, a local resident, spoke in opposition of the application as a nearby resident and raised the following concerns:

- Major impact on their house and use of their garden, the proposed building comes up to the boundary wall and had windows overlooking their property.
- The construction of the building would destroy the roots of an old pear tree.
- The wall was under joint ownership, if the building is built up against it how would this affect the maintenance of that wall.
- The building is oversized for the plot; it could be reduced in size.
- The proposed parking is a hazard.
- Construction vehicle access to the site would be very difficult.

Andrew Davy, the Architect for the application explained the thought behind the location and the design of the building to integrate into the current character of the area. He explained the design was in line with the height of other dwellings along the road and the parking was within the guidelines. He reassured the Committee that the foundations would be designed around the roots of the tree and there was no intention of removing any trees. He explained it was a small construction led by local contractors and built in an urban area as opposed to on green fields. He concluded by explaining that the current climate had created a short fall in housing stock and his client was trying to build a new home for his son, close to family and within his local area.

Councillor Ryder expressed his concerns over the tree protection and asked whether this could be overseen by the planning authority. He also questioned whether condition 8 would determine where construction traffic and building materials would be situated with the lack of available road space in the area. The Senior Planning Officer explained that the development site was not in any conservation area therefore the trees were not currently protected. He also explained that under condition 8, the applicant would need to have submitted and have approved the construction management plan/method statement before commencement of development, including plans as regards construction vehicle parking.

The Head of Development Management confirmed it would be possible for Members to add in a condition to require the method of tree protection to be submitted to ensure the tree was protected if they wished.

Agenda Item 2

2021/22

Councillor Schoemaker raised concerns over the treatment and colour of the wood cladding. The Senior Planning Officer confirmed under condition 4 any treatment of the cladding would need to be approved by Officers.

The Officer's recommendation to permit, with an additional condition in respect of tree protection methods, was proposed by Councillor Schoemaker and seconded by Councillor Smith.

After being put to a vote, the Motion was carried unanimously.

RESOLVED To PERMIT application S.21/1381/FUL with an additional condition of tree protection methods.

DCC.23 Officer Report (To Note)

The Head of Development Management introduced the report and explained the performance figure report would come to the Committee every 6 months.

Councillor Smith questioned whether they could ask questions regarding the report, outside the meeting. The Head of Development Management confirmed that was acceptable.

Councillor Jones asked whether they would be able to see the figures for appeals success rate. To which the Head of Development Control confirmed that was possible and she would add those figures into the next report brought to the Committee.

RESOLVED To NOTE the report.

DCC.24 The Old Granary, Wanswell, Berkeley (S.21/1713/FUL)

The Chair reminded members that if they wished to discuss the detail of the exempt information that he would propose going into exempt session and that unless and until this was done the contents of the appendix were not to be discussed in open session.

The Planning Officer introduced the report, and showed the Committee two videos of the front and back of the site. He then went on to show the designs for the previous barns on the site compared to what had been built, the land owned by the applicant and the plans for the surrounding garden areas. Design concerns had been raised with regards the rear windows which were sporadically placed and can be seen from a nearby footpath. He informed the Committee that the site was in the open countryside and that the building was larger than the original barn and therefore the development contradicted Local Plan Policy CP15. The Planning Officer informed the Committee that the applicant had highlighted the children's welfare with regards to the Human Rights Act and Article 3 of the UN Convention on the rights of the child. He informed the committee that these rights should be a primary consideration although they should be balanced against the Local Plan aims and policies.

The Head of Development Management explained that the barn had been erected and had been occupied however the Committee was only being asked to consider the planning merits of the proposal as it stands. The Committee were not to consider enforcement action or any actions following this. It was confirmed that any enforcement

2021/22

action would be decided upon at a later date should the outcome warrant it and would come back to Committee to be addressed.

Councillor Craig spoke as a Ward Member in support of the application. He informed the Committee that he had attended the site and it had been well built and created a positive influence on the area. He explained that he had sat in on a meeting of the Hamfallow Parish Council who expressed similar opinions regarding the dwelling. Councillor Craig expressed an awareness of the compliance issues surrounding the build and stated there were lessons to be learned from it.

Councillor Green spoke as a Ward Member in support of the application. She agreed it was a well-built property with no privacy issues and it was well hidden from the road. She commended the property on its beauty and standard of build and expressed large concerns over the impact on the family should this application be refused.

Steph Warren, the applicant, spoke in support of the application. She explained they had purchased the barn with the planning approval already in place. She informed the Committee that they had hired a chartered surveyor to measure the site and create the drawings. The new build had the original steels from the previous barn however mistakenly, the inner steels were not built to. She informed the committee that there was no restrictive head height shown anywhere on the drawings and to lower that by 1 metre would render the second storey uninhabitable. She explained the changes they had made to the windows believing this was available for them to change. She informed the Committee that they had permission for a dwelling at the location and the design they had built received support from the Parish Council, immediate neighbours and members of the local community. She explained that theoretically they could strip the building back and re-create it to the original plans however this wouldn't be achievable for them as they had put everything into the initial build and would be financially devastating to their family.

John Rooney, the applicant's agent, spoke in support of the application. He informed the committee that the dwelling was supported by Policy 1 of the NPPF which supports sustainable development. The build of this property used the materials from the previous barn which meant it was a sustainable development. He also informed the committee that an ecologist had supported that there was a biodiversity net gain from this application and confirmed there were already 8 houses in the surrounding area. He asked the Committee to approve the application in accordance with Policy 1 of the NPPF.

Councillor Patrick questioned if the property had been built and retrospective planning permission sought, would the Officer recommendation still have been to refuse. The Head of Development Management stated that permitted development had to meet certain criteria and this development had gone beyond the existing structure which is why it was treated as a new build.

Councillor Ryder questioned whether there was ongoing contact from 2019 during the build of the dwelling to which the Planning Officer confirmed there had been no contact and there were no requirements to check on the build during development.

Councillor Ryder further questioned the issue with the habitable rooms upstairs and whether this could have been seen from the plans which was answered by the Planning Officer who stated that plans submitted on approval did state "do not scale", but the plans had scale to page size on them so could be correctly scaled.

Agenda Item 2

2021/22

Councillor Jones Proposed, Councillor Ryder Seconded the Officers recommendation for refusal.

Members debated the issue at length which included the following concerns:

- The message approving this application would send out.
- The process is in place for a reason and should be followed.
- The impact of refusal on the family.
- The area surrounding the build (open countryside).
- The Local Plan Policies it contradicts.

The Head of Development Management assisted the Committee with their concerns of pre-determination should the application be refused and then come back to Committee, in particular as regards enforcement.

The Chief Planning Lawyer also confirmed to the Committee that the circumstances of the case could be considered as material considerations as well as consideration as regards the imposition of conditions on the development, which could affect their decision and that the decision was not necessarily clear cut.

The Members continued to generally debate the issue of the impact this application held on the family and spoke of how the application contradicted the Local Plan.

After being put to a vote, the Motion carried with 5 votes in support and 3 votes against.

RESOLVED To REFUSE permission for application S.21/1713/FUL.

The meeting closed at 10.34 pm

Chair



Stroud District Council

Planning Schedule

23rd November 2021

In cases where a Site Inspection has taken place, this is because Members felt they would be better informed to make a decision on the application at the next Committee. Accordingly, the view expressed by the Site Panel is a factor to be taken into consideration on the application and a final decision is only made after Members have fully debated the issues arising.

DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE

Procedure for Public Speaking

The Council encourages public speaking at meetings of the Development Control Committee (DCC). This procedure sets out the scheme in place to allow members of the public to address the Committee at the following meetings:

1. Scheduled DCC meetings

2. Special meetings of DCC

Introduction

Public speaking slots are available for those items contained within the schedule of applications. Unfortunately, it is not permitted on any other items on the Agenda.

The purpose of public speaking is to emphasise comments and evidence already submitted through the planning application consultation process. Therefore, you must have submitted written comments on an application if you wish to speak to it at Committee. If this is not the case, you should refer your request to speak to the Committee Chairman in good time before the meeting, who will decide if it is appropriate for you to speak.

Those wishing to speak should refrain from bringing photographs or other documents for the Committee to view. Public speaking is not designed as an opportunity to introduce new information and unfortunately, such documentation will not be accepted.

Scheduled DCC meetings are those which are set as part of the Council's civic timetable. Special DCC meetings are irregular additional meetings organised on an ad-hoc basis for very large or complex applications.

Before the meeting

You must register your wish to speak at the meeting. You are required to notify both our Democratic Services Team democratic.services@stroud.gov.uk and our Planning Team planning@stroud.gov.uk by 12 noon 1 clear working day before the day of the meeting, exceptionally, the council will consider late representations if appropriate.

At the meeting

If you have registered to speak at the meeting, please try to arrive at the Council Chamber 10 minutes before the Committee starts so that you can liaise with the democratic services officer and other speakers who have also requested to speak in the same slot. Where more than one person wishes to speak, you may wish to either appoint one spokesperson or share the slot equally.

Planning Schedule 23/11/2021**1. Scheduled DCC Meetings**

There are three available public speaking slots for each schedule item, all of which are allowed a total of **four minutes** each: -

- ✓ Town or Parish representative
- ✓ Objectors to the application and
- ✓ Supporters of the application (this slot includes the applicant/agent).

Please note: to ensure fairness and parity, the four-minute timeslot is strictly adhered to and the Chairman will ask the speaker to stop as soon as this period has expired.

Those taking part in public speaking should be aware of the following:

- ✓ They will be recorded and broadcast as part of the Council's webcasting of its meetings.
- ✓ Webcasts will be available for viewing on the Council's website and may also be used for subsequent proceedings e.g. at a planning appeal.
- ✓ Names of speakers will also be recorded in the Committee Minutes which will be published on the website.

The order for each item on the schedule is

1. Introduction of item by the Chair
2. Brief presentation and update by the planning case officer.
3. The Ward Member(s)
4. Public Speaking
 - a. Parish Council
 - b. Those who oppose the application
 - c. Those who support the application
5. Committee Member questions of officers
6. Committee Members motion tabled and seconded
7. Committee Members debate the application
8. Committee Members vote on the application

Agenda Item 4

Planning Schedule 23/11/2021

2. Special DCC meetings

There are three available public speaking slots for each schedule item, all of which are allowed a total of up to **eight minutes** each: -

- ✓ Town or Parish representative
- ✓ Objectors to the application and
- ✓ Supporters of the application (this slot includes the applicant/agent).

Please note: to ensure fairness and parity, the eight-minute timeslot will be strictly adhered to and the Chairman will ask the speaker to stop after this time period has expired.

Those taking part in public speaking should be aware of the following:

- ✓ They will be recorded and broadcast as part of the Council's webcasting of its meetings.
- ✓ Webcasts will be available for viewing on the Council's website and may also be used for subsequent proceedings e.g. at a planning appeal.
- ✓ Names of speakers will also be recorded in the Committee Minutes which will be published on the website.

The order for each item on the schedule is:

1. Introduction of item by the Chair
2. Brief presentation and update by the planning case officer.
3. The Ward Member(s)
4. Public Speaking
 - a. Parish Council
 - b. Those who oppose the application
 - c. Those who support the application
5. Committee Member questions of officers
6. Committee Member tabled and seconded
7. Committee Members debate the application
8. Committee Members vote on the applicatio

Planning Schedule 23/11/2021


Parish	Application	Item
Dursley Town Council	Littlecombe Zone E, Lister Road, Dursley. S.20/2098/FUL - Residential development comprising 28 dwellings together with public open space, access, parking, landscaping and associated works.	1
Dursley Town Council	Cambridge Avenue, Dursley, Gloucestershire. S.21/1025/FUL - Demolition of existing buildings and erection of thirteen affordable dwellings, with landscaping, parking and associated works	2

This page is intentionally left blank



**Development Control Committee Schedule
23/11/2021**

Item No:	1
Application No.	S.20/2098/FUL
Site Address	Littlecombe Zone E, Lister Road, Dursley, Gloucestershire
Town/Parish	Dursley Town Council
Grid Reference	375698,198414
Application Type	Full Planning Application
Proposal	Residential development comprising 28 dwellings together with public open space, access, parking, landscaping and associated works.
Recommendation	Resolve to Grant Permission
Call in Request	Councillor Doina Cornell



	<p>Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021</p>
--	--

Applicant's Details	<p>St Modwen Homes Ltd C/O Planning Prospects Ltd, 4 Mill Pool, Nash Lane, Belbroughton, Worcestershire DY9 9AF</p>
Agent's Details	<p>Planning Prospects Ltd 4 Mill Pool, Nash Lane, Belbroughton, Worcestershire, DY9 9AF</p>
Case Officer	<p>Simon Penketh</p>
Application Validated	<p>05.10.2020</p>
CONSULTEES	
Comments Received	<p>Biodiversity Officer Biodiversity Officer Conservation South Team Contaminated Land Officer (E) Dursley Town Council Environmental Health (E) Flood Resilience Land Drainage Development Coordination (E) Housing Strategy And Community Infrastructure Arboricultural Officer (E) Contaminated Land Officer (E) Dursley Town Council Development Coordination (E) Planning Strategy Manager (E) Mike Towson Housing Strategy And Community Infrastructure Biodiversity Officer Conservation South Team Archaeology Dept (E) Arboricultural Officer (E) Environmental Health (E) Flood Resilience Land Drainage GCC Community Infrastructure Contributions</p>
Constraints	<p>Affecting the Setting of a Cons Area Consult area Conservation Area Within 50m of existing cycle track (LP) Landfill Protection Zone Within 50m of Listed Building Mixed use Allocation Neighbourhood Plan Dursley Town Council SAC SPA 7700m buffer Settlement Boundaries (LP)</p>



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

OFFICER'S REPORT

1. MAIN ISSUES

- o Principle of development
- o Open Space and Sports Facilities
- o Design, appearance and landscape impact
- o Archaeology & Historic Environment
- o Ecology
- o Drainage
- o Residential Amenity
- o Highway Impact
- o Planning Obligations
- o The Planning Balance

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE SITE

2.1 The site is contained within the Cam & Dursley the Settlement Development Limits. It is located to the North of Dursley Town Centre, and can be accessed via Long Street and Lister Road/Victoria Close.


2.2 A number of listed buildings are located relatively close to the site and are associated with Dursley Town Centre. In particular, The Priory is located due Southwest of the site and opposite the proposed site access. Dursley Conservation Area is situated immediately to the South of the site (a very small part of the application site is within the Conservation Area).

2.3 The site is not within any specific landscape designations or areas protected for sensitive ecology or biodiversity. The site sits within the former mixed use allocation on Land at Lister-Petter, Dursley (Policy NH1 (MU3) - Stroud District Local Plan November 2005). Development associated with that allocation is well underway.

3. PROPOSAL

3.1 The planning application is submitted in full. The proposed development proposes the construction of 28 residential dwellings and associated access, parking and informal landscaped open space. A pedestrian link is also proposed to The Knapp. The dwellings are made up of a mixture of 1 bed flats, 2, 3 and 4 bed houses. Each dwelling has between 1 and 3 off street parking spaces depending on the house type. The dwellings are positioned on the West side of the access road (due to the site topography).

3.2 It is proposed to access the site from Lister Road via the access arrangements approved under planning permission S.19/1090/REM (also referred to as Zone F). For the avoidance of doubt it is not proposed to alter the alignment of the approved access. Access to the development under consideration in this report would utilise a spur forming part of the Zone F approval. Zone F is under construction at the time of compiling this report.



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

3.3 It is noted that the proposal submitted under this planning application is such that it overlaps the site associated with Zone F. This area of the proposed development would provide 3 of the dwellings and associated open space.

4 REVISED DETAILS

4.1 During the course of the assessment of this application the following revisions have been provided;

- Revised layout to omit a block of 8 flats which have been replaced by 4, 2 bed houses and 4, 1 bed flats.

5 MATERIALS

Buildings	Cream/Buff Brick elevations and Slate roof
Road/Pavement	Mix of block paving and tarmac surface treatment

6 REPRESENTATIONS

The Local Planning Authority has carried out two sessions of consultation in relation to this planning application. The initial consultation was carried out on receipt of the planning application. A further consultation was carried out following receipt of revised plans submitted by the applicant.

6.1 Parish/Town Councils:

6.1.1 Dursley Town Council

Dursley Town Council raises objection to the proposed development and comments as follows;

- 6.1.1.1 The entire site should be preserved as a wildlife area and corridor.
- 6.1.1.2 The development is contrary to the Dursley Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP) 2018 Environment and Sustainability objectives including policies ES1, ES2 and Projects D and K. Also the Stroud District Local Plan (November 2015) policies HC1, CP14, CP4, ES6, ES7, ES8 and ES13.
- 6.1.1.3 It would result in the unacceptable loss and deterioration of locally valued habitats and trees which support wildlife and biodiversity.
- 6.1.1.4 Local wildlife known to occupy and visit the site include deer, badgers, bats, foxes and more.
- 6.1.1.5 Although the proposed development includes landscaping, this would be 'urbanised' and the extant of flora and fauna would be lost.



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

- 6.1.1.6 The Dursley Green Infrastructure Map, Figure 6 within the NDP, highlights the valuable natural habitat features e.g. woodland, grassland and green links within the town. It stresses the importance of maintaining the wildlife corridor links between areas.
- 6.1.1.7 The proposed development site is included on the map and is identified as a valuable wildlife area of mixed habitats. Its established woodland areas are also identified on Figure 8, Dursley Ancient Woodland map.
- 6.1.1.8 Continuous tree cover along linear landscape features such as the river is of particular importance to bats. The loss of trees/woodland on the site would have a significant adverse impact on bats and their feeding flight line given its position.
- 6.1.1.9 The NDP references the approved Littlecombe development Indicative Masterplan dated 2016 (Figure 7) which identifies the site area as green space/tree condition of 'high quality /value' and highlights the importance of the green infrastructure corridors here, that link the town into the wider countryside, which 'should be retained and enhanced in the future'.
- 6.1.1.10 'Project K: Green Infrastructure' in the NDP seeks to further develop the Green Infrastructure Map and establish a Green Infrastructure (GI) Plan in order to better protect and enhance green connections for people and wildlife. This has clear links with the Stroud District emerging Local Plan strategy (November 2019) which seeks to deliver "a mapped GI network, linking urban areas to the wider countryside, identifying important habitats, landscape features, river and green corridors and ecological networks" and the associated new policy DES2 which reflects changes in the NPPF and recommendations arising from the Stroud District Open Space and Green Infrastructure Study.
- 6.1.1.11 There is evidence that vegetation clearance work has already taken place on this site and this work should be halted immediately.
- 6.1.1.12 To protect the existing wildlife, trees and natural habitats, no earthworks, vegetation clearance or tree felling should take place before a decision on the application is made. The application does not include any up to date ecological survey reports for the site.
- 6.1.1.13 The Dursley NDP states that the preservation of green spaces and wooded hillsides is also key to retaining the town's attractive vistas. The plan aims to protect high quality views and the landscape character of the town.
- 6.1.1.14 Policy ES2 states that 'development should respond sensitively to the key views and landscape character of Dursley' and that 'views to the wooded and grassed slopes and distinctive hills surrounding the town should be retained'.



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

- 6.1.1.15 The proposed development would harm the landscape character of the town, given its position and topography, which in itself does not lend itself to development.
- 6.1.1.14 It occupies a visually prominent position as viewed from the river, the Dursley Conservation area and surrounding area. This includes the Cotswold Way National Trail which enters the town centre at the bottom of Long Street from Chestal.
- 6.1.1.15 Following the second consultation (revised layout) Dursley Town Council confirmed that the original objection submitted by the Town Council still stands; and, that the Town Council confirmed that it supports the objections raised and submitted by local residents.

6.2 Stroud District Council Technical Officers

6.2.1 Housing Strategy and Community Infrastructure Manager

Confirms that the affordable housing proposal of 8 units, 4 to be rented and 4 shared ownership is acceptable, subject to the provisions of the s.106. As 8 units provides 28% affordable housing instead of 30%, the remainder will need to be provided in the form of a commuted sum payment.

6.2.2 Bio-Diversity Officer

Habitats Regulations Assessment - The site falls within the 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SPA, SAC Ramsar, which has been identified by Stroud District Council as the distance local residents will travel to the Severn Estuary for recreational purposes at level that is considered detrimental to the designated sites qualifying features.

- 6.2.2.1 It is therefore considered that the proposed development will result in negative impacts to the Severn Estuary through indirect recreational pressure. Stroud District Council as the competent authority have adopted an Avoidance Mitigation Strategy where developers pay a financial contribution to discharge their duties under the Habitats Regulations 2017. Contributions are spent on identified projects that aim to reduce recreational impacts on the Severn estuary and contribute towards habitat enhancement measures along the designated site.
- 6.2.2.2 The strategy is designed for new residential development within the 7.7km catchment, which requires each new residential dwelling to contribute £385 towards the strategy, this will need to be agreed through a section 106 legal agreement.
- 6.2.2.3 Alternatively - The developer has the option to provide their own mitigation strategy but this would need to be agreed with SDC and Natural England prior to determination of the application.
- 6.2.2.4 Assessment of Proposal - The comments relate to the following documents;



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

- a) Letter dated 17th May 2021, by Cotswold Wildlife Surveys
- b) Ecological Re-assessment, by CWS, dated March 2020

6.2.2.5 Recommendation - The information provided in the above letter is acceptable and as such the application is acceptable subject the following conditions;

- i) All works shall be carried out in full accordance with the recommendations contained in the Ecological Re-assessment, by CWS, dated March 2020 and the letter by CWS dated May 2021 as already submitted with the planning application and agreed in principle with the local planning authority prior to determination.

REASON: To protect and enhance the site for biodiversity in accordance with paragraph 174 of the National Planning Policy Framework, Policy ES6 of the Stroud District Local Plan 2015 and in order for the Council to comply with Section 40 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006.

- ii) Prior to commencement an ecological design strategy (EDS) shall be submitted to, and be approved in writing by, the local planning authority.

The EDS shall include the following;

Full details of hedgerows to be retained and protected during construction.

Details of planting, such as hedgerows, wildflower planting and establishment.

Type and source of materials to be used where appropriate, e.g. native species of local provenance.

Time table for implementation demonstrating that works are aligned with the proposed phasing of development.

Details for the erection of bird/bat boxes.

Details of enhanced reptile refugia and hedgehog friendly fencing

Details of initial aftercare and long-term maintenance and persons responsible for the maintenance.

The EDS shall be implemented in accordance with the approved details and all features shall be retained in that manner thereafter.

REASON: in order to fulfil the requirements of paragraph 175 of the NPPF by ensuring that Biodiversity Net gains are achieved, an EDS will be required to be provided prior to the commencement of development.



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

iii) Prior to commencement a landscape and ecological management plan (LEMP) shall be submitted to, and be approved in writing by, the local planning authority prior to first occupation of the development. The content of the LEMP shall include the following:

Description and evaluation of the features to be managed.

Aims and objectives of management

Appropriate management options for achieving aims and objectives

Prescription for management actions

Preparation of work schedule (including an annual work plan capable of being rolled forward over a 20 year period)

Details of body or organisation responsible for implementation of the plan.

Ongoing monitoring and remedial measures.

The LEMP shall include details of the legal and funding mechanism(s) by which the long-term implementation of the plan will be secured by the developer with the management body(ies) responsible for its delivery. The plan shall also set out how contingencies and/or remedial action will be identified, agreed and implemented so that the development still delivers the fully functioning biodiversity objectives of the originally approved scheme. The approved plan will be implemented in accordance with the approved details.

REASON: To protect and enhance the site for biodiversity in accordance with paragraph 175 of the National Planning Policy Framework, Policy ES6 of the Stroud District Local Plan 2015 and in order for the Council to comply with Section 40 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006.

- 6.2.2.6 Comments - The submitted ecological impact assessments and letter show that the site consists of poor semi improved grassland, tall ruderals which were recently cleared under the supervision of an ecologist. Badger setts have been identified and surveyed since 2003, the most recent surveys being undertaken in 2020. Two new outlier/ subsidiary setts have appeared close to and within the site boundary since the original surveys.
- 6.2.2.7 Video surveying was undertaken using video surveys, the over a number of survey conducted over a couple of months no badgers were seen to enter or exit the outlier setts although animals were seen daily to walk around the sett entrances.
- 6.2.2.8 Due to the location of the sett entrances they will need to be closed under licence to allow the development to proceed lawfully. A Natural England licence will be required to close the entrances which are likely to experience disturbance.



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

6.2.2.9 Further to this during site construction badgers and other mammals such as hedgehogs have the potential to fall into open excavations and as such any open excavations overnight will need to be covered completely by boards to prevent accidental entrapment of animals.

6.2.2.10 The scheme proposes a wildflower planting enhancements, these can be detailed in the required EDS and LEMPs.

6.2.3 Arboriculture Officer

No objection subject to the following conditions;

i) The development must be fully compliant with the FPCR Arboriculture Assessment (April 2021). The fencing must be fully compliant with figure 2 contained within BS5837: 2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction recommendations

Reason: To preserve trees and hedges on the site in the interests of visual amenity and the character of the area in accordance with Stroud District Local Plan Policy ES8 and with guidance in revised National Planning Policy Framework paragraphs 15, 170(b) & 175 (c) & (d).


ii) Prior to commencement of the development hereby approved (including any ground clearance, tree works, demolition, or construction) a pre-commencement meeting must take place with the main contractor / ground workers with the local planning authority tree officer.

Reason: To preserve trees and hedges on the site in the interests of visual amenity and the character of the area in accordance with Stroud District Local Plan Policy ES8 and with guidance in revised National Planning Policy Framework paragraphs 15, 170(b) & 175 (c) & (d).

iii) Monitoring tree protection. Prior to commencement of the development hereby approved (including any ground clearance, tree works, demolition or construction), details of all tree protection monitoring and site supervision by a qualified tree specialist (where arboriculture expertise is required) shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development thereafter shall be implemented in strict accordance with the approved details.

iv) Details of a scheme of hard and soft landscaping for development must be submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority. The landscaping scheme shall include details of hard landscaping areas and boundary treatments (including the type and colour of materials), written specifications (including cultivation and other operations associated with tree, shrub, hedge or grass establishment), schedules of plants noting species, plant size and proposed numbers/ densities. Any plants which fail within a five year period must be replaced.

Reason: To preserve trees and hedges on the site in the interests of visual amenity and the character of the area in accordance with Stroud District Local Plan Policy ES8 and with



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

guidance in revised National Planning Policy Framework paragraphs 15, 170(b) & 175 (c) & (d).

6.2.4 Senior Conservation Officer

The above site is situated adjacent to the Dursley Conservation Area. Special attention must be paid to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character and appearance of the area. It has to be remembered that the built environment derives its character as much from the spaces between and around the buildings as it does from the buildings themselves.

- 6.2.4.1 Where Listed buildings or their settings, are affected by development proposals, Section 66(1) of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act requires the decision-maker to have special regard to desirability of preserving the building or its setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest it possesses.
- 6.2.4.2 The historic assets in this case are the Dursley Conservation Area together with the listed buildings including the grade I Church of St James, the grade II* Raglan House, and, two grade II buildings, the Priory and the Gazebo. A number of other grade II listed buildings lie within the Conservation Area.
- 6.2.4.3 The proposal is for the residential development of Zone E with the erection of 28 dwellings together with public open space, access, parking and landscaping.
- 6.2.4.4 Due to the degree of separation between the application site and the historic asset, it is considered that the proposals will have a neutral impact on most of the designated heritage assets. The ones mostly affected are the Priory and the Gazebo but, again, due to the degree of separation, it is considered that the impact will be of less than substantial harm, the harm being only that of an encroachment of the built form on the historic rural setting of the buildings. However, it is acknowledged that this has previously been eroded and therefore the significance of this now carries little weight with respect to their special interest.
- 6.2.4.5 Paragraph 196 of the Framework states that any less than substantial harm should be weighed against the public benefits of the proposal. There will be public benefit from the proposals in the provision of new dwellings, and they therefore comply with paragraph 196 of the National Planning Policy Framework.
- 6.2.4.6 The application has been assessed in accordance with paragraphs 193 - 196 of the NPPF and Section 66(1) of the Planning (Listed Building and Conservation Areas) Act 1990.



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

6.2.5 Environmental Health Officer

With respect to the planning application, the officer recommends that any permission should have the following conditions and informative applied;

6.2.5.1 Conditions;

i) No construction site machinery or plant shall be operated, no process shall be carried out and no construction-related deliveries taken at or dispatched from the site except between the hours 08:00 and 18:00 on Mondays to Fridays, between 08:00 and 13:00 on Saturdays and not at any time on Sundays, Bank or Public Holidays.

ii) Construction/demolition works shall not be commenced until a scheme specifying the provisions to be made to control dust emanating from the site has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

6.2.5.2 Informative;

The applicant should take all relevant precautions to minimise the potential for disturbance to neighbouring residents in terms of smoke/fumes and odour during the construction phases of the development by not burning materials on site. It should also be noted that the burning of materials that give rise to dark smoke or the burning of trade waste associated with the development, may constitute immediate offences, actionable by the Local Authority. Furthermore, the granting of this planning permission does not indemnify against statutory nuisance action being taken should substantiated smoke, fume or odour complaints be received.

6.2.6 Contaminated Land Officer

The officer notes the content of the Zone E Phase 1 Desk Study, Ref 17151-RLE-20-XX-RP-0-0070 dated March 2020. The concurs with the recommendation that a Phase 2 site investigation is required and advises that the full contaminated land condition is imposed upon any permission granted.

6.2.7 Community Services Officer (Wast Management)

Having reviewed the site layout, advises the bin collection point opposite no 24, needs to be brought closer to the entrance to the main route through the development, as it is noted the vehicle sweep analysis plots the refuse vehicle should not travel on hatched area of the road. This means that operatives will have to walk from the vehicle to the bin collection point multiple times with bins. A suitable location is suggested.

6.2.7.1 In addition the refuse collection plan identifies that the intention is for residents to have 2 x communal 1100 litre bins for non-recyclable waste. When full these bins will be extremely heavy and would be difficult to wheel the distance from the proposed bin collection point to the vehicle and pose a risk of injury.

6.2.7.2 The area directly in front of the bin collection point should be hatched or signage installed to ensure access is kept clear of cars.



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

- 6.2.7.3 The officer advises that the corners of the turning areas should have double yellow lanes, to prevent parking and creating access/turning issues for collection crews.

6.3 Gloucestershire County Council Technical Officers

6.3.1 Highway Authority

Gloucestershire County Council, the Highway Authority acting in its role as Statutory Consultee has undertaken a full assessment of this planning application. Based on the appraisal of the development proposals the Highways Development Management Manager on behalf of the County Council, under Article 18 of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order, 2015 has no objection subject to conditions and financial obligations.

- 6.3.1.1 The justification for this decision is provided below.
- 6.3.1.2 The highway authority has previously responded on this application, most recently on 21 May 2021. It has been noted that the proposed arrangement for parking for plot 1 was considered not to be acceptable. The proposed site layout Plan 2103 Rev A shows that the parking proposals have been amended.
- 6.3.1.3 The extents of the adoptable highway that were shown on drawing no 0150 Revision P2 extended only to the back of the footways. They did not have regard to the necessary forward visibility envelopes which must all be included as highway to be adopted.
- 6.3.1.4 A revised Agreement Plan drawing no 04069 0150 Revision P7 has now been submitted which shows the required visibility splays and envelopes within the areas to be adopted.
- 6.3.1.5 Similarly, a revised Visibility Plan drawing no 0159 Rev P2 has been submitted to show the junction visibility splays at the junction opposite Plots 5 and 7. These splays are required to provide forward visibility envelopes on the approaches to the junction.
- 6.3.1.6 It is noted that a kerbing plan drawing no 0206 Rev P2 has been submitted. Longitudinal road sections have also been provided on drawing no 0153. However, this response at planning stage does not imply acceptance of these or other technical and construction details, which must be the subject of formal review and agreement through the technical and s.278 approval processes.
- 6.3.1.7 The Highway Authority has undertaken a robust assessment of the planning application. Based on the analysis of the information submitted the Highway Authority concludes that there would not be an unacceptable impact on Highway Safety or a severe impact on congestion. There are no justifiable grounds on which an objection could be maintained.



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

6.3.1.8 Conditions

- i) Prior to commencement of the development hereby permitted details of a construction management plan or construction method statement shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The approved plan/statement shall be adhered to throughout the demolition/construction period. The plan/statement shall include but not be restricted to:
- o Parking of vehicle of site operatives and visitors (including measures taken to ensure satisfactory access and movement for existing occupiers of neighbouring properties during construction);
 - o Routes for construction traffic;
 - o Any temporary access to the site;
 - o Locations for loading/unloading and storage of plant, waste and construction materials;
 - o Method of preventing mud and dust being carried onto the highway;
 - o Arrangements for turning vehicles;
 - o Arrangements to receive abnormal loads or unusually large vehicles; and
 - o Methods of communicating the Construction Management Plan to staff, visitors and neighbouring residents and businesses.

Reason: In the interests of safe operation of the adopted highway in the lead into development both during the demolition and construction phase of the development.

- ii) Prior to the first occupation of any dwelling hereby permitted the means of access for vehicles, pedestrians and cyclists for that dwelling shall have been constructed and completed in accordance with the approved plans.

Reason: In the interest of highway safety.

- iii) Prior to the first occupation of any dwelling hereby permitted secure and sheltered cycle storage facilities within the curtilage of that dwelling shall have been made available for use in accordance with the local authorities' standards and those facilities shall be maintained for the duration of the development.

Reason: To ensure the provision and availability of adequate cycle parking and in the interest of promoting sustainable travel.

- iv) Prior to the first occupation of any dwelling hereby permitted the dwelling shall have been fitted with an electric vehicle charging point. All charging points shall comply with BS EN 62196 Mode 3 or 4 charging and BS EN 61851 [and Manual for Gloucestershire Streets]. The electric vehicle charging points shall be retained for the lifetime of the development unless they need to be replaced



**Development Control Committee Schedule
23/11/2021**

in which case the replacement charging point(s) shall be of the same specification or a higher specification in terms of charging performance.

Reason: To promote sustainable travel and healthy communities.

6.3.1.9 Informatives

The development hereby approved will involve works to be carried out on the public highway and the applicant/developer is required to enter into a legally binding Highway Works Agreement (including appropriate bond) with Gloucestershire County Council before works commence. The Agreement will specify the works and the terms and conditions under which they are to be carried out.

6.3.2 County Archaeologist

An archaeological evaluation was carried out at the proposed development site in 2002 as part of the wider Lister Petter works and adjoining land development. Two trial trenches within the site recorded nothing of archaeological interest. An archaeological Desk Based Assessment submitted with this application has concluded a low potential for significant archaeological remains to be impacted by the proposals, based on archaeological investigations within the surrounding area.

6.4.2.1 On the basis of the above it is recommended that no archaeological investigation or recording is necessary in relation to this application.

6.3.3 Lead Local Flood Authority LLFA

The LLFA has no objection to the revised drainage strategy shown in drawing 04069-A-0152 Revision P4.

NOTE 1: The Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) will give consideration to how the proposed sustainable drainage system can incorporate measures to help protect water quality, however pollution control is the responsibility of the Environment Agency

NOTE 2: Future management of Sustainable Drainage Systems is a matter that will be dealt with by the Local Planning Authority and has not, therefore, been considered by the LLFA.

NOTE 3: Any revised documentation will only be considered by the LLFA when resubmitted through suds@gloucestershire.gov.uk e-mail address. Please quote the planning application number in the subject field.

6.3.4 Community Infrastructure Officer

Summary of financial obligations requested by Gloucestershire County Infrastructure Officer are as follows;

Pre-School Provision (7.2 places)	£108,655.20
Primary School (Stonehouse Park Junior School)	£0.00
Secondary School (11-16)	£93,552.00
Secondary School (16 to 18)	£38,660.16
Library Provision (Stonehouse)	£5,488



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

6.6 - Public

6.6.1 There has been approximately 35 responses received from the local community originating from 29 households. This is across both sessions of public consultation. The comments received have raised objection to the proposal. The key issues of concern identified in the responses are set out below

6.6.2 Principle/History Issues

The site was excluded from the Outline application and therefore the principle of development is not established - St Modwen has given assurances that the area would not be developed
The site was to be retained as greenfield
The proposed development is contrary to the Dursley Neighbourhood Development Plan
Contrary to the Council Carbon Neutral Vision
Earlier proposals to build on the site deemed to be unsuitable due to topography issues.

6.6.3 Design, Landscape Local Character issues

The site is not previously developed land as submitted by the applicant and historically is a green field site
Maintenance of the ground and associated landscaping/planting should be established.
There is criticism of the design of the buildings and layout of the development, and concern is raised that the development would be incongruous in the landscape.
Concern is raised as to the negative impact of the proposed engineering works (cutting of the embankment)
Concern is raised as to the impact of the development on the character of the Dursley Town Centre Conservation Area
Detrimental impact upon existing trees on the site
Loss of a semi-rural location

6.6.4 Highway and Access Issues

The proposed development would result in conflict between pedestrians and motor vehicles on Knapp Road where there will be an increase in pedestrian movement
The footpath to The Knapp should be maintained and prepared in perpetuity. This should be made a Public Right of Way
The link from the site to The Knapp is not suitable for pedestrians as it is overgrown and is not secure or overlooked
It is suggested that a pedestrian link is provided to Rednock Drive.
The link to The Knapp is not required as there are alternatives
Windsor Road should not be used as a pedestrian route to and from the site.

6.6.5 Ecology/Biodiversity Issues

Negative impact on the natural habitat associated with Ewelme Valley
The proposed development does not provide a net ecological gain.
The site has been subject to extensive clearance and removal of existing habitat



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

Ecological information has not been provided for consideration
The area is a sanctuary for wildlife
Loss of wildlife habitat for protected species
Various species of wildlife are reported using the site
The area should be protected from development

6.6.6 Residential Amenity

Potential loss of light and outlook as a result landscaping
Impact on the security of nearby residential properties
Seating associated with the open space will result in unacceptable noise and antisocial behaviour
Potential light pollution
Overlooking from 2.5 and 3 storey dwellings

6.6.7 Other Issues

Concerns about the stability of the ground and potential damage to properties associated with Windsor Road/The Knapp and insurance liabilities and potential long term cost liability to members of the Littlecombe Community Interest Company.
Ground investigations are requested
The cul-de-sac associated with Windsor Road should be securely fenced and maintained
Security fencing should be provided at properties backing onto the 'Listers footpath'
There is a lack of community facilities and infrastructure
Damage to trees within adjacent properties
The community was not made aware of the application.

7 NATIONAL AND DEVELOPMENT PLAN POLICIES

7.1 National Planning Policy Framework July 2021

7.2 Adopted Local Plan; Stroud District Local Plan (adopted) 2015.

- 7.2.1 Strategic Objectives
 - SO1 Accessible Communities
 - S04 Transport and Travel
 - S05 Climate Change and Environmental Limits
- 7.2.2 Core Policies
 - CP1 Presumption in favour of Sustainable Development.
 - CP2 Strategic Growth and Development Locations.
 - CP3 Settlement Hierarchy.
 - CP4 Place Making
- 7.2.3 Core Policies - Homes and Communities
 - CP6 Infrastructure and Developer Contributions
 - CP7 Lifetime Communities
 - CP8 New Housing Development
 - CP9 Affordable Housing



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

- CP14 High Quality Sustainable Development
- 7.2.4 Delivery Policies - Homes and Communities
HC1 Residential Development in Urban Areas
- 7.2.5 Delivery Policies - Economy and Infrastructure
EI11 Promoting Sport, Leisure and Recreation
EI12 Promoting Transport Choice and Accessibility.
EI13 Protecting and Extending our cycle routes
- 7.2.6 Delivery Policies - Environment and Surroundings
ES1 Energy Efficiency and Sustainable Construction
ES3 Maintaining Quality of Life Within Our Environmental Limits
ES4 Water Resources, Quality and Flood Risk
ES6 Biodiversity and Geodiversity
ES7 Landscape Character
ES8 Trees and Hedgerows and Woodlands
ES10 Valuing Historic Environment and Assets
ES12 Better Design of Places.
ES14 Provision of Semi-Natural and Natural Green Space with New Residential Development
ES15 Provision of Outdoor Play Space
ES16 Public Art Contributions
- 7.3 Dursley Neighbourhood Development Plan (2019)
- 7.3.1 Environment and Sustainability
ES1 Ancient Woodlands
ES2 Key Views and Landscape
- 7.3.2 Housing
H1 Housing Development
H2 Housing Quality
- 7.3.3 Character and Design
D2 Character and Design
- 7.3.4 Services and Facilities
SF1 Community Facilities
SF2 Local Green Space Designations
- 7.3.5 Traffic and Transport
T1 Car Parking
T2 Improve Connections for Cyclists and Pedestrians
- 7.4 County Level Development Plan
Gloucestershire Local Transport Plan (2020 to 2041)
Minerals Local Plan (2018 to 2032)



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

7.5 Other relevant documents

Planning Obligations Supplementary Planning Document (July 2017)

Stroud District Landscape Assessment Supplementary Planning Guidance (November 2000).

Stroud District Residential Design Guide Supplementary Planning Guidance (November 2000).

8 PRINCIPLE OF DEVELOPMENT

8.1 - Site History - The application site is located on land that was included within the Stroud District Local Plan (November 2005) as an allocation for mixed use development under Policy HN1 (site allocation MU3). The allocation broadly detailed a development consisting of up to 600 dwellings, employment uses, open space and conversion of existing buildings.

8.2 Outline planning application (S.05/0498/OUT) was approved in July 2008. The approved development aligned with the scope of the 2005 local plan policy allocation. This has been partially implemented via reserved matters approvals. The outline consent was supported by a masterplan that identified a series of 'zones' of development. The application now under consideration relates to 'zone E' identified in that masterplan.

8.3 A subsequent 'hybrid' planning application (S.15/0476/OUT - a mix of detailed and outline proposals) was approved in May 2016. This also aligned with the scope of the 2005 local plan policy. The application sought to address site constraints by modifying the associated masterplan to reduce the developable area and amend play provision. At that point, the area of land referred to as 'zone E' was omitted from the proposal. Again, the hybrid approval has been implemented and development is well underway.

8.4 Notwithstanding the above, the subsequent omission of 'zone E' does not imply that the area of land subject of this application is excluded from future development. It does not prevent a further application relating to that area of land from being submitted. Indeed, it is of note that the reserved matters approval for 'zone F' (immediately to the south and which also provides the access link to the development proposal now under consideration) makes reference to a 'potential development phase' on the 'zone E' area of land. This does not support anecdotal evidence that the area of land subject to this application would be reserved (by the developer) entirely as open space and left undeveloped.

8.5 Whilst the outline/hybrid approvals have been implemented, it is no longer possible to submit application for the consideration of reserved matters as the time scale for doing so has expired. Accordingly, it is necessary to submit a full planning application for consideration.

8.6 Furthermore, the 'land allocation' held under the Stroud District Local Plan (November 2005) was not carried forward to the current/adopted Stroud District Local Plan (November 2015). As such, the development submitted under this planning application stands alone and must be considered on its own planning merit; and in the context of the adopted development



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

plan unless material planning considerations indicate otherwise (in accordance with section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004).

8.7 The site is located well within the Cam and Dursley settlement boundary; and within easy walking distance of Dursley Town Centre and the wide range of services it provides. Dursley (and Cam) is a first tier settlement as defined in Policy CP3 (Settlement Hierarchy) of the Stroud District Local Plan. First tier settlements are the primary focus for the delivery of new growth and development as sustainable locations. The location of the site is such that it has excellent access to existing services, community facilities, employment and public transport and other sustainable modes of transport.

8.9 For the reasons set out below officers are satisfied that the proposed development is consistent with the broad policy context of the adopted development plan (and this includes the Dursley Neighbourhood Development Plan). Officers consider that the proposed development is sustainable and acceptable in principle; and, meets the objectives of Paragraph 11c of the National Planning Policy Framework. Substantial weight in favour of the proposed development is attributed to this factor.

9 NEW HOUSING PROVISION

9.1 The proposed development would provide 28 new dwellings. 8 of the dwellings (approximately 28%) are proposed to meet the requirement for affordable dwellings as part of new housing development; whilst the remaining 2% would be off set via a financial sum. This would be secured through appropriate legal agreement. The applicant has confirmed agreement with this approach in principle.

9.2 There is no 'in principle' objection to the proposed development. Whilst Stroud District Council currently maintains a healthy 5 year housing supply, the proposed development would, nonetheless, provide a positive contribution the council's land supply in a sustainable location. Given the amount of dwellings proposed moderate weight in favour of the proposal is attributed to this factor.

9.3 The Stroud District Council Housing Strategy and Community Infrastructure Manager has confirmed that the provision of affordable housing as part of the development is acceptable. Officers are satisfied that the proportion (along with the financial sum) in this way would make a positive contribution to the Affordable housing need in the district- of which there is currently an unmet need annually. It is also confirmed that the tenure mix is acceptable and dwelling sizes and types proposed will address local housing need. The proposal is policy compliant in that regard. Significant weight in favour of the proposed development is attributed to this factor.

10 LOCAL CHARACTER, DESIGN AND APPEARANCE

10.1 - Local Character - The site forms a parcel of open land located between new residential development associated with Lister Road (Littlecombe Development Area) to the East and existing development associated with The Knapp and Windsor Road. The land contains a mix of vegetation including scrub and small woodland/copse. The site slopes



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

towards the East and South forming the Western side of the Ewelme Valley; the Eastern side of which has been extensively redeveloped as part of the Littlecombe Development Area. Prior to this, the area was dominated by the former Lister-Petter Factory. Views of the site are accessible from the Long Street/Lister Road/Drake Lane intersection and from Long Street/Victoria Close and Chestal. Direct views of the site are also available from the Eastern end of Windsor Road and from the Car parking area associated with the Sainsbury's Supermarket.

10.2 The views of the site are confined to its immediate context as described above. The site is not easily visible from the wider area or beyond the general limits of Dursley Town Centre. Similarly, wider views of the surrounding area (and the Cotswolds) are not easily achieved from the site.

10.3 Policy ES13 of the Stroud District Local Plan seeks to protect spaces that contribute to the distinctive form, character and setting of a settlement; recognising that open spaces also improve quality of life through opportunities for formal and informal recreation. The policy indicates that local communities will designate local green spaces as part of a Neighbourhood Plan. Whilst the application site is not specifically identified as being within an 'open space' for formal or informal recreation (such as amenity grassland) the Dursley Neighbourhood Development Plan acknowledges the land as forming a Wildlife Area with mixed habitats.

10.3 The consideration of the impact of the proposed development upon ecology is set out later in this report. However, the land does form open space that follows the topography of Ewelme Valley separating existing urban development. It is not easily accessible and as such does not offer any material benefit as informal public open space. Nonetheless, from a visual character perspective, officers consider that area of land does provide a positive contribution to the immediate character of this part of Dursley.

10.3 Accordingly, officers consider that the site is within an area of open space that is captured by the objectives of Policy ES13. However, as noted above, it is not easily accessible and as such its value is somewhat confined to a visual benefit in terms of the character of the area.

10.4 Notwithstanding this position, the immediate and wider context of the site is urban in nature. The Littlecombe Development to the East and immediate South is now well underway and now forms a significant part of the character of the area. The assessment of this planning application should also be considered in the context of the existing and emerging town scape - which itself is bringing about substantial areas of informal open space and interlinked green spaces. These are associated with the river basin and its catchment.

10.4 - Impact of the Proposed Development - The proposed development would introduce 28 new dwellings and associated access road into the central part of the site. The land take is relatively narrow and would retain around 50% as undeveloped land. Beyond the limit of the application site, further land that is within the Dursley NDP Wildlife Area would be retained. Accordingly, a relatively modest part of the NDP Wildlife Area would be developed. Furthermore, the development will also provide informal landscaping that would result in



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

improved access to the area for informal recreation. The landscaping would also provide specific ecological mitigation and management in that area and this would form part of the wider green infrastructure associated with the Littlecombe Development Area.

10.5 Whilst officers acknowledge that the introduction of new dwellings would result in the loss of part of the open area of land, it is considered that the managed landscaping proposed as part of the development would act to provide positive mitigation against that loss and complement new infrastructure being provided in the surrounding context.

10.6 The layout of the proposed development is linear in nature and is accessed from a new road leading to a cul-de-sac arrangement. The proposed dwellings would be positioned on the Western side of the access road and (in general terms) face in an Easterly direction. When viewed 'on plan' this sort of arrangement would not normally be considered to constitute good design. However, it is important to consider this layout in the context of the wider Littlecombe Development Area and the relationship of the proposed dwellings with the emerging development and the topography of the landscape.

10.7 In this instance, the proposed development would face towards landscaped area associated with the River Cam/Ewelme basin on the opposite side of which, new dwellings also face in a Westerly direction across the landscaped area. In this context officers are satisfied that the layout of the proposed development is acceptable.

10.8 The proposed buildings are designed to complement existing buildings associated with the wider Littlecombe Development Area and utilise matching materials and detailing. This is considered to be acceptable in urban design terms.

10.9 Given the topography of the existing site, the development will involve substantial engineering works to provide level platform for the construction of the dwellings. This would involve 'cut and fill' regrading methods. This is consistent with the approach taken across other areas of the Littlecombe Development Site. Approximately two thirds of the length of the site (considered from North to South) would be regraded, with the embankment effectively becoming steeper over the length of the site to the east of properties associated with Windsor Road. The Northern area of the site would not be regraded but would instead be cut and retained behind a 6-metre-high gabion wall.

10.10 The regraded embankment would be subject to comprehensive landscaping as part of the development and would include native shrub and tree planting as well as wild flower meadow planting. Accessible areas would be provided at the Southern part of the site and this includes native fruit tree planting, walk way and benching. Further accessible areas would be provided in the Northern area of the site. Again this would include native tree planting and wild flower meadow as well as a walk way providing access to the existing pedestrian route linking the site to The Knapp.

10.11 Whilst it is acknowledged that the engineering works are substantial in nature this impact is highly localised. The proposed landscaping treatment is considered to provide suitable mitigation against the impact and would form an acceptable backdrop to the proposed dwellings. As the development matures, the landscaping associated with the



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

embankment areas will also establish and allow this element of the development to integrate into the surrounding landscape.

10.12 Overall, whilst the development result in some localised landscape harm officers are satisfied that the proposed development would be consistent with the character and scope of the wider Littlecombe Development. It is also considered that the proposed landscaping would act to positively mitigate the impact of the development. Accordingly, neutral weight is attributed to this factor.

11 ARCHAEOLOGY & HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT

11.1 An archaeological evaluation has been carried out in respect of the wider Littlecombe Development Area in 2002. The County Archaeologist notes that trial trenching carried out at the time did not find archaeological interest. A further desk based assessment has been carried out has been provided with this application that indicates that there is a low potential for archaeological remains to be present at the proposed development site. Accordingly, the County Archaeologist is satisfied that there is no further archaeological investigation required. The proposed development is acceptable in archaeological terms. Neutral weight is given to this factor.

11.2 The context of the site is such that it is set within modern urban development. A number of heritage asset are present in the close and wider locality including The Church of St James, Raglan House, The Gazebo and The Priory. The Dursley Conservation Area is also situated to the South of the site and contains a wide range of designated and non-designated heritage assets. Views of the application site are available from Raglan House and The Priory. The context of these buildings is made up of the emerging Littlecombe development which, at this point includes an open landscaped area providing separation to Long Street and the new development. The application site is also set beyond an area of land that will become part of the landscaped area, which provides further separation between the heritage assets and the proposed development. Accordingly, officers are satisfied that the degree of separation and proximity to heritage assets (including the Conservation Area, The Priory and Raglan House) is such that there would be no material impact or harm resulting from the development and the heritage assets. Neutral weight is given to this factor.

12 ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

12.1 Ecology - The Stroud District Council Biodiversity Officer has considered the proposed development in the context of ecological constraints associated with the application site. In this instance, officers are satisfied that the development is acceptable in ecological terms, subject to appropriate planning conditions. The Biodiversity Officer has suggested specific conditions/planning obligations are attached in the event of the approval of this application that secure the following (subject area);

- Planning obligation to secure proportionate funds (commuted sum) towards the Severn Estuary SPA/SAC avoidance mitigation strategy; or a development specific bespoke mitigation strategy (the applicant has committed to the payment of a commuted sum which amounts to £10,780 (28 x £385);




Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

- Ecological measures/works carried out in accordance with the requirements identified in the submitted Ecological Appraisal
- The provision of an Ecological Design Strategy (EDS)
- A Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP);

12.2 Officers are satisfied that the submitted ecological assessment and supporting information application show that the site consists of poor quality semi-improved grassland and tall ruderals. This is considered to represent sub-optimal habitat for protected species and as such the site itself carries limited ecological value. It is noted that the site has been cleared under the supervision of a qualified ecologist.

12.3 The site and general surrounding area is known to support badgers. Two outlier/subsidiary setts are present within the boundary of the site. There is a further outlier/subsidiary sett and main sett beyond the site boundary, with the main sett being approximately 70 metres clear of the development site. However, these are not located in positions where physical development would occur. Recent survey information suggests that the outlier/subsidiary setts are not being used, but it is clear that badgers are present on the land around the setts. Accordingly, it will be necessary to close the setts to enable the development to proceed in accordance with the Protection of Badgers Act 1992. This would be required under licence from Natural England. It is noted that the Ecological Assessment and supporting information provides the appropriate mechanisms for mitigating impact and protecting badger (and other biodiversity interest) as part of the development proposals. Appropriate planning conditions to secure these measures can be applied in the event that this application is approved.

12.4 As noted earlier in this report, the Dursley Neighbourhood Development Plan acknowledges the land as forming a Wildlife Area with mixed habitats. Policy ES13 (d) of the Stroud District Local Plan seeks to protect open space that 'forms part of an area of value for wildlife'. The Stroud District Biodiversity Officer notes that the site itself is of limited ecological value. However, the proposed development brings about the opportunity to improve the ecological value of the site alongside new development; and to allow it to complement existing green/ecological corridors in the locality and as part of the wider Littlecombe development. As part of the development proposals there would be high proportion of landscaping provided, with the 'built development' occupying around half of the area of the site. The proposed landscaping would introduce native plant species and wild flower meadow as well as retaining existing banks of trees and vegetation. It is considered that the proposed landscaping represents a high quality 'Green Corridor, that would provide positive ecological habitat as well as informal open space; and, represents an opportunity to improve and enhance the ecological value of the area whilst providing new residential development. This area would also link into the existing 'Green Corridor' that is present in the immediate locality and has been provided and enhanced throughout the Littlecombe Development Area. This linkage between the existing and proposed corridors will increase connectivity throughout the site and aid in connecting the site to the wider ecological network. As such, the development would continue to provide foraging habitat for badgers and other species present in the Cam/Ewelme Valley.



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

12.5 The landscaped area would be subject to a Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) and Ecological Design Strategy (EDS) that would provide the mechanisms and maintenance/management regimes for the landscaped areas to ensure that they continue to maximise their value for biodiversity and achieve the objectives of the ecological mitigation measures. Officers are also satisfied that the Ecological Assessment provides the appropriate mechanisms for protecting ecological interest (including protected species) during the construction phase of the development. This can be secured by appropriately worded planning condition in the event that this application is approved and the management of the area also secured by appropriate legal agreement.

12.6 Whilst not specifically included within this application/proposal the applicant has indicated that it is exploring the opportunity for bringing the area of land immediately to the North of the site for use as potential informal open space and ecological enhancement. This land is within the ownership of the applicant and as such the prospect of this measure coming forward is a reasonable one. However, at this stage it is not a matter that can be given weight in the consideration of this planning application. It should also be noted that officers do not consider that the recommendation to approve this planning application is not reliant on this measure. However, this does demonstrate that the development site is capable of providing measures for ecological/biodiversity improvements in the wider area; and the measures proposed as part of the application are viable starting position for that.

12.7 In addition to the above, the developer acknowledges that the site falls within the catchment area for the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA avoidance strategy. In this regard, the developer has committed to the payment of the appropriate commuted funds towards the strategy (amounting to £10,780).

12.8 Officers consider that the ecological/biodiversity impact of the development can be adequately mitigated and that appropriate measures can be secured to improve the ecological value of the site and immediate surrounding area as part of the proposed development. Appropriate off setting in respect of the potential impact on the Severn Estuary can also be secured. Accordingly, moderate weight in favour of the proposed development is attributed to this factor.

12.9 Drainage/Hydrology - The site is within Flood Zone 1 and as such is not itself at risk from flooding. The Lead Local Flood Authority has confirmed that the proposed drainage engineering is acceptable. These measures can be secured via an appropriately worded planning condition in the event that this application is approved. Accordingly, officers are satisfied that the development would provide acceptable surface water drainage. In neutral weight is attributed to this factor.

12.10 Arboriculture - The application site does not contain any individual or grouped Tree Preservation Orders. However, there are linear groups of trees to the East of the site and a copse of trees/woodland area to the North of the site.

12.11 The application is supported by an Arboricultural Assessment. This includes a comprehensive tree survey, tree/retention protection measures (including protective fencing) and methods for the planting of new trees. The Stroud District Arboricultural Officer is



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

satisfied that the submitted information adequately addresses the impact on Arboricultural interest associated with the application site; and as such advises that conditions are attached to any consent to secure the identified measures. iNeutral weight is attributed to this factor.

12.12 Conditions relating to the monitoring and pre-installation site meetings are not considered necessary; and as such do not meet the requirements of the Planning Practice Guidance in respect of conditions. The developer is obliged to implement measures in accordance with agreed details (and this is controlled by condition). A landscaping plan has been submitted with this application and can be secured by appropriate condition in the event that the application is approved.


12.13 Building performance, Sustainability and Climate Change - The proposed development is located in a highly sustainable location close to local and national modes of public transport. It is also within walking distance of local shops and services offered in Dursely Town Centre. Officers consider that this allows the proposed development to make a positive contribution to a sustainable form of living.

12.14 It is anticipated that the development will perform well against the required Building Regulation standards which requires a high performance (such as insulation and efficient heating) in new build dwellings. The applicant indicates that the development proposals adopt a 'fabric first approach' to reduce energy use and carbon emissions that exceed the requirements of the current building regulations. This includes;

- Air Permeability - 50% improvement on Building Regulations to achieve 5 m³/hm² compared to Building Regulations requirement of 10 m³/hm².
- Water Efficiency - 12% improvement on Building Regulations to achieve 110L pp/pd/pd (per person/per dwelling/per day) compared to Building Regulations requirement of 125L pp/pd/pd.
- External Walls and Insulation - 125mm cavities, fully filled with high performing insulation providing a 30% improvement on Building Regulations to achieve 0.21 w/m²K compared to Building Regulations requirement of 0.3 w/m²K.
- Floor insulation - 40% improvement on Building Regulations to achieve 0.15 w/m²K compared to Regulations requirement of 0.25 w/m²K.
- Roof insulation - 50% improvement on Building Regulations to achieve 0.10 w/m²K compared to Building Regulations requirement of 0.2 w/m²K.
- Openings (windows) insulation - 31.5% improvement on Building Regulations to achieve 1.37 w/m²K compared to Building Regulations requirement of 2 w/m²K

12.15 The applicant indicates that the dwellings would also provide water efficiency measures, and active energy efficiency measures such as low energy and LED lighting and highly efficient boilers, heating controls and radiator valves to reduce energy consumption. Construction materials are also to be sustainably sourced.

12.16 Whilst the development does not include the provision of specific renewable energy generation, it is expected that the above measures will ensure that the proposed dwellings will be highly energy efficient and exceed building Regulation Standards. Furthermore, all 28 dwellings on the proposed development would be provided with the infrastructure for the



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

future provision of 7.2kw 'electric car charging points' so allowing for existing ownership and future transition to low/emission free vehicles. Essentially, this infrastructure would provide the routing and cabling up to the point where the necessary EV socket can be installed. This would allow the occupier of the respective dwelling to install a specific EV socket dependant on market/preference and vehicle specification/requirements. Officers are satisfied that the extent of this provision is acceptable and this can be secured by appropriately worded condition in the event that the application is approved.

12.17 Ground Conditions the Contaminated Land Officer has considered the submitted ground investigation information. The officer advises that site specific testing and potential remediation is necessary. This can be secured by appropriately worded condition in the event that this application is approved. Neutral weight is attributed to this factor.

12.18 Waste Management Domestic waste and recycling for the operational phase (occupation) of the development would be implemented through the Stroud District Recycling regime as a service offered by Stroud District Council. Officers are satisfied that the development would provide the necessary road and infrastructure to allow residents to take advantage of that service.

12.19 A relatively large volume of earth (inert waste) would be required to be moved to make way for the proposed development (cut and fill). This is similar to other areas of the Littlecombe Development Area. The applicant has confirmed that as much material will be retained on site for reuse within the development area. Where materials are to be exported off site, the applicant has confirmed that this will be re-used locally and in compliance with the Development Industry Code of Practice. Furthermore, it is a requirement of the Environmental Protection Act to provide a Waste Management Plan to the Local Authority (Gloucestershire County Council) for approval. On this basis, officers are satisfied that a planning condition is not required in this respect should permission be granted. Neutral weight is attributed to this factor.

13 HIGHWAY IMPACT AND ACCESSIBILITY

13.1 The proposed development is accessed from Lister Road via the approved access to 'Zone F' as approved under planning permission S.19/1090/REM. The 'Zone F' consent includes a spur ready for the proposed access.

13.2 The Highway Authority has confirmed that it raises no objection to the proposed development subject to conditions to secure the following;

- Delivery of access, parking and turning facilities provided prior to first occupation of the development;
- The provision of residential electric vehicle charging points;

13.3 Access and General Layout - The proposed access would continue the existing arrangement from Lister Road. The Highway Authority considers that the junction onto the existing arrangement is acceptable and that the general arrangement of the proposed development is acceptable. Sufficient off street parking is provided for each of the proposed



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

dwelling and this includes provision of Electric Vehicle Charging points. Adequate cycle storage is also provided.

13.4 Paragraph 111 of the National Planning Policy Framework (July 2021) makes it clear that Development should only be prevented or refused on highways grounds if there would be an unacceptable impact on highway safety, or the residual cumulative impacts on the road network would be severe. Given the amount of dwellings proposed and the relationship of this development with the wider Littlecombe Development, officers are satisfied that there is adequate capacity to handle the vehicular movements resulting from the proposed development. The development would not result in a detrimental highway impact in the surrounding locality and as such is acceptable in that regard.

13.5 Local residents have raised concern about the use of the 'Lister Path' to provide a link from the development to The Knapp. The issue being raised focusses on the nature of The Knapp and the lack of pedestrian footway facilities. The Knapp is a no through road and as such traffic is generally made up of residents who access The Knapp and Windsor Road. The Knapp also provides some pedestrian access from the wider area to Dursley Town Centre. Whilst the use of the 'Lister Path' would potentially increase pedestrian movements, it is not considered that this would result in a material change in the balance of pedestrians and motorised vehicles using The Knapp. Whilst The Knapp does not provide dedicated footways, the nature/status of the road as a public highway is such that pedestrian and vehicular conflict is not at an extent where a severe highway safety impact would occur as a result of the use of the 'Lister Path'. Essentially, the proposed development would not materially change the current situation. Furthermore, the use of the 'Lister Path' is considered to offer a viable and sustainable walking/cycling route from the site (and the wider Littlecombe development) to Dursley and other areas of Dursley - in particular nearby schools. Whilst there may be some impact, officers consider that any potential negative impact is outweighed by the benefit of using the 'Lister Path'.

13.6 Having regard to the above, officers are satisfied that the proposed development is acceptable in highway safety and access terms and would not result in a severe highway impact. Neutral weight is attributed to this factor.

14 RESIDENTIAL AND GENERAL AMENITY

14.1 Overlooking, privacy and Proximity - The site is located to the East of existing dwellings associated with Windsor Road and The Knapp and to the West of new dwellings under construction/completing on the Littlecombe development.

14.2 The development is set below the level of existing dwellings on Windsor Road and The Knapp. The relationship of the proposed development with those dwellings is such that there would be limited opportunity for overlooking and indivisibility to occur between existing and proposed dwellings. Whilst there would be views across the backs of houses on the development from existing gardens and public highway there is sufficient separation distance to preclude any detrimental impact. Furthermore, landscaping would also provide screening in that context.



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

14.2 Similarly, the dwellings to the East (on the new development) are located across the river valley and separated by existing and proposed landscaping. The dwellings would be approximately 60 metres apart. In broad terms, the relationship of the proposed dwelling and existing dwellings surrounding the site would be consistent with normal sub-urban relationships and is acceptable in that regard.

14.3 Noise, Dust and Light Impact Given the relationship of the proposed development and the surrounding locality, officers are satisfied that there would be no detrimental impact upon the amenity of existing residential dwellings as a result of noise or lighting. The level of traffic generation from the new development would be low and the level of traffic noise is unlikely to be discernible in the context of the site. Lighting would be installed on the adopted highway. However, modern lighting street lighting is designed to be highly directional and is not likely to result in significant light spill beyond the public area of the development. During the construction phase, there will be noise resulting from operational vehicles and general construction practices. These activities are subject to environmental controls under the Environmental Health Legislation. Nonetheless, it is considered appropriate to control working practices via appropriately worded conditions to secure a Construction Management Plan, Dust Management Plan and construction working hours.

14.4 Some concern has been raised as to the introduction of a seating area to the south (and below the level) of dwellings associated with Windsor Road. Concern is focussed at the potential for noise and/or anti-social behaviour. The bench would form part of the informal open space associated with the development and is considered to be an appropriate measure in this context. It is noted that a similar bench and location has been approved under planning permission S.19/1090/REM and can be installed under that development. Notwithstanding this, should there be a noise nuisance or anti-social behaviour occurrence at the bench, this is a matter for appropriate Environmental Health Legislation or the Police.

14.5 Accordingly officers are satisfied that the proposed development is acceptable in this regard. Neutral impact is attributed to this factor.

15 COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY CONSIDERATIONS (CIL)

15.1 As set out earlier in this report, the proposed development is a stand-alone proposal and is not considered part of the former strategic allocation promoted under the Stroud District Local Plan 2005 (Policy NH1 (MU3)). Accordingly, the proposed development is CIL liable and the associated infrastructure required to off-set the proposed development is to be funded through CIL.

15.2 Schools and Library Infrastructure - The Gloucestershire County Council Infrastructure Officer has set out the requested funding required to meet the needs of the proposed development. The appropriate mechanism for securing funding is through CIL and officers are satisfied that this factor is adequately addressed and no further contribution is sought. Neutral weight is attributed to this factor.

15.3 Other Infrastructure - Infrastructure including open/plays space and green infrastructure is also appropriately funded through CIL and as such the impact of the



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

proposed development in the wider infrastructure terms is appropriately mitigated. No further funding request is sought. iNeutral weight is attributed to this factor.

15.4 It is also noted that the wider locality associated with Littlecombe will provided high quality open/play space/youth provision and 'green infrastructure' in the form of the green corridors. Whilst this development proposal stands alone the site has very good access these facilities and as such adequately catered for in that regard. Given this factor and the scale of the proposed development in its context, it is not considered necessary to provide on site provision with in the application site.

16 OTHER ISSUES

16.1 Civil Matters - Local concern has been raised as to the potential for the cost of maintenance or repair of the proposed gabion wall structures to be passed onto the members of the Littlecombe Community Interest Company (effectively the residents of the Littlecombe development). It is understood that the gabion structures would be conveyed to a third party. Nonetheless, this is a civil matter and carries no weight in the consideration/determination of this planning application.

16.2 Private Security Concern is also raised as to the potential security of properties that back onto 'Lister Path' as a result of its use as a pedestrian access onto the proposed development from Knapp Road. Whilst officers acknowledge that there is the potential for footfall to increase along this route, that is not to say that there would be a significant change to the security aspects of properties backing onto the route. It is of note that the route can be easily accessed, irrespective of the presence of new development in the locality and as such it is unlikely that the risk of crime would be worse than it is now as a result of the development. The security of individual property is a matter for the owner and as such is civil in nature. Accordingly, limited weight is attributed to this factor.

17 PLANNING OBLIGATIONS

17.1 The following obligations are considered appropriate related to the proposed development and should be secured by appropriate legal agreement in the event that the application is approved;

17.2 Affordable Housing - 8 dwellings (28.6%) and the remaining shortfall (up to 30%) recovered by a financial contribution should be secured by appropriate s106 legal agreement to ensure that the development is compliant with adopted planning policy. The Affordable Housing provided as 50% social rent (4 units of 1 bed flats) and 50% shared ownership (4 units 2 bed houses). The applicant has agreed to this obligation in principle.

17.3 Management of Public Areas and Ecology Measures - The public areas and ecological measures would be subject to a planning condition to secure an appropriate Landscape Ecological Management Plan (LEMP). In order to ensure that the areas are correctly managed in perpetuity, the operator of the site must appoint a landscape management company to carry out this work. The applicant has agreed to this obligation in principle.



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

17.4 SAC's - The site is located within the 7.7 km core catchment zone of the Severn Estuary SPA/SAC. As such the development triggers the requirement for a commuted sum (£385 per dwelling) to provide the means to offset the impact of the development on the Severn Estuary. The applicant has agreed to meet this obligation and this can be secured under a s106 Unilateral Undertaking.

18 CONCLUSION AND THE PLANNING BALANCE

18.1 The starting point for making decisions in relation to planning applications is the development plan and other material planning considerations. In this instance, the proposed development is considered to be sustainable and acceptable in principle and is consistent with the scope of the key locational/strategic policies contained in the Stroud District Local Plan. In accordance with paragraph 47 of the National Planning Policy Framework the planning application should be approved unless there are material considerations that indicate otherwise.

18.2 As the decision maker, the Local Planning Authority must weigh up the positive benefits of proposed development against identified negative impacts; and this should be carried out in the wider public interest. The proposed development is compliant with the strategic policy contained in the Stroud District Local Plan (adopted) November 2015. The development would also contribute towards the district housing stock in a highly sustainable location. Officers consider that these factors can be attributed substantial weight in the decision making process.

18.3 The proposed development would provide the opportunity to improve the ecological/biodiversity of the site and the surrounding locality. However, there is some residual impact because of the limited potential for protected species (badgers) to be present on the site. This can be appropriately mitigated through appropriate preventative measures prior to and during the construction phase. Officers consider that this factor can be attributed moderate weight in the decision making process.

18.4 The Highway Authority has carefully assessed the development in terms of its impact upon the wider highway network and in respect of the layout of the development. Officers are satisfied that the development can be made safe in highway terms and that the site is located in a sustainable location close to existing services and public transport. It is considered that the proposed development would not result in an unacceptable highway safety or amenity impact. Officers consider that this factor can be attributed neutral weight in the decision making process.

18.5 Officers are satisfied that the proposed development would not result in an unacceptable impact in respect of the character of the site or its surrounding locality and would not result in harm to designated and non-designated heritage assets. Officers consider that this factor can be attributed neutral weight in the decision making process.

18.6 Officers are satisfied that the proposed development would not result in an unacceptable impact in respect of the residential amenity of the occupants of nearby



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

dwellings. Officers consider that this factor can be attributed neutral weight in the decision making process.

18.7 In this instance, the assessment of this application has identified positive benefits resulting from the proposed development. Adequate mitigation can be provided to address the impact of the development such that officers are satisfied that the positive benefits of the development would considerably outweigh any harm. Accordingly, officers recommend that the proposed development is approved

19 HUMAN RIGHTS

19.1 In compiling this recommendation the Local Planning Authority has given full consideration to all aspects of the Human Rights Act 1998 in relation to the applicant and/or the occupiers of any neighbouring or affected properties. In particular regard has been had to Article 8 of the ECHR (Right to Respect for private and family life) and the requirement to ensure that any interference with the right in this Article is both permissible and proportionate. On analysing the issues raised by the application no particular matters, other than those referred to in this report, warranted any different action to that recommended.

20 RECOMMENDATION

20.1 That Planning Permission is **GRANTED** subject to the planning conditions as set out in this report and the applicant first voluntarily entering into appropriate legal agreements to secure the following Heads of Terms;

Bi-lateral s106 agreement

- i) Affordable Housing -
 - a) 28.6% (8 Units) to be identified as affordable housing and financial contribution equivalent to 0.4 Affordable Housing units.
 - b) This quantum to be secured as a ratio of 50:50 Social Rent (4 x 1 bed flats) and Shared Ownership 4 x 2 bed houses
 - c) Mechanism for the Local Authority to approve the tenure mix/unit locations
- ii) Landscape maintenance company for shared/public/semi-public areas/ecological areas
 - a) Requirement for the appointment of suitably qualified landscape management company and appropriate responsibilities; and, confirmation of the appointed company.

Uni-lateral s106 agreement

- iii) Severn Estuary SAC Mitigation -



**Development Control Committee Schedule
23/11/2021**

a) Financial contribution (commuted sum) of £385 per dwelling.

22.2 Delegated Authority to Officers to prepare and seal the required legal agreements.

Subject to the following conditions:	<p>1. <u>Standard 3 year</u> The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.</p> <p>Reason: To comply with the requirements of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by Section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.</p> <p>2. <u>Plans List</u></p> <p>Site Layout</p> <p>Site Location Plan R9078-RLA-20-XX-DR-A-2101 Rev A (5th October 2020) Proposed Site Layout R9078-RLA-20-XX-DR-A-2103 Rev C (19th July 2021) Proposed Boundaries Plan R9078-RLA-20-XX-DR-A-2104 Rev B (20th April 2021) Proposed Materials Plan R9078-RLA-20-XX-DR-A-2105 Rev C (4th November 2021) Proposed AH Plan R9078-RLA-20-XX-DR-A-2106 Rev B (20th April 2021) Proposed Heights Plan R9078-RLA-20-XX-DR-A-2107 Rev B (20th April 2021) Site Sections R9078-RLA-20-XX-DR-A-2120 Rev A (20th April 2021) Street Elevations R9078-RLA-20-XX-DR-A-2123 Rev A (20th April 2021)</p> <p>Dwellings</p> <p>H3B979 House Type R9078-RLA-20-XX-DR-A-2130 (5th October 2020) H4B1173 House Type R9078-RLA-20-XX-DR-A-2131 Rev A (20th April 2021) H4B1336 House Type R9078-RLA-20-XX-DR-A-2133 Rev A (20th April 2021) H4B1355 House Type R9078-RLA-20-XX-DR-A-2134 Rev A (20th April 2021) H4B1474 House Type R9078-RLA-20-XX-DR-A-2135 Rev A (20th April 2021)</p>
---	--



**Development Control Committee Schedule
23/11/2021**

H4B1513 House Type R9078-RLA-20-XX-DR-A-2136 Rev B
(19th July 2021)

AF2B830 House Type R9078-RLA-20-XX-DR-A-2140 Rev 0
(20th April 2021)

AF1B605 House Type R9078-RLA-20-XX-DR-A-2141 Rev 0
(20th April 2021)

Garage R9078-RLA-20-XX-DR-A-2138 Rev A
(20th April 2021)

Engineering Agreement Plan 04069-0150 Rev P7
(1st November 2021)

Visibility Plan 04069-0159 Rev P2
(1st November 2021)

Kerbing Plan 04069-0156 Rev P4
(20th April 2021)

Vehicle Tracking 04069-0157 Rev P4
(20th April 2021)

Vehicle Tracking Sht 2 04069-0161 Rev P1
(20th April 2021)

Vehicle Tracking Sht 3 04069-0162 Rev P1
(20th April 2021)

Proposed Plot Levels 04069-0151 Rev P4
(20th April 2021)

Drainage Strategy 04069-0152 Rev P4
(20th April 2021)

Longitudinal Sections 04069-0153 Rev P1
(20th April 2021)

Flood Routing Plan 04069-0154 Rev P4
(20th April 2021)

Site Cross Sections 04069-0158 Rev P4
(20th April 2021)

Landscaping

Zone E Landscape Masterplan 21407/110 Rev C
(20th April 2021)

Zone E Planting Strategy 21407/111 Rev B
(20th April 2021)

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt.

3. Ecology (Ecological Design Strategy)

Prior to the commencement of the development hereby approved, an ecological design strategy (EDS) shall be submitted to and be approved by the Local Planning Authority.



**Development Control Committee Schedule
23/11/2021**

For the avoidance of doubt, the EDS shall include (but not necessarily limited to) the following information;

- a) Full details of hedgerows to be retained and protected during construction.
- b) Details of planting, such as hedgerows, wildflower planting and establishment.
- c) Type and source of materials to be used where appropriate, e.g. native species of local provenance.
- d) Time table for implementation demonstrating that works are aligned with the proposed phasing of development.
- e) Details for the erection of bird/bat boxes.
- f) Details of enhanced reptile refugia and hedgehog friendly fencing
- g) Details of initial aftercare and long-term maintenance and persons responsible for the maintenance.

The development shall be implemented in accordance with the approved details and thereafter retained as such.

Reason: In order to protect and enhance the site for biodiversity in accordance with Policy ES6 of the Stroud District Local Plan 2015. This is a pre-commencement condition to prevent unnecessary remediation or abortive works.

4. Ecology (LEMP and Protection measures)

A Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) shall be submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority prior to first occupation of the development. For the avoidance of doubt the content of the LEMP shall include the following:

- a) Description and evaluation of the features to be managed.
- b) Aims and objectives of management.
- c) Appropriate management options for achieving aims and objectives.
- d) Prescription for management actions.
- e) Preparation of work schedule (including an annual work plan capable of being rolled forward over a 20-year period).
- f) Details of body or organisation responsible for implementation of the plan.
- g) Ongoing monitoring and remedial measures.

The LEMP shall include details of the legal and funding mechanism(s) by which the long-term implementation of the plan will be secured by the developer with the management body(ies) responsible for its delivery. The plan shall also set out how contingencies and/or remedial action will be identified, agreed and



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

implemented so that the development still delivers the fully functioning biodiversity objectives of the originally approved scheme. The approved plan will be implemented in accordance with the approved details.

The development shall be implemented in accordance with the approved details and thereafter retained as such.

Reason:

In order to protect and enhance the site for biodiversity in accordance with Policy ES6 of the Stroud District Local Plan 2015.

5. Compliance with Ecological Appraisal

All works shall be carried out in full accordance with the recommendations contained in the Ecological Re-assessment, by CWS, dated March 2020 and the letter by CWS dated May 2021 as already submitted with the planning application and agreed in principle with the local planning authority prior to determination.

Reason:

In order to protect and enhance the site for biodiversity in accordance with Policy ES6 of the Stroud District Local Plan 2015.

6. Landscaping

The landscaping detailed in drawing number 21407/110 Rev C and 21407/111 Rev B as received by the Local Planning Authority on 20th April 2021 shall be implemented no later than the first planting season following the first occupation of the development hereby approved. Thereafter the development shall be retained as such and the landscaping shall be maintained in accordance with the details set out on the drawing and the Landscape Environmental Management Plan as referred to in condition 2 of this planning permission.

Reason:

In the interest of landscape and visual amenity and to ensure that the agreed landscaping is adequately maintained and to accord with policy ES7, ES8 and CP14 of the Stroud District Local Plan (adopted) November 2015.

7. Arboriculture (Comply with Tree Protection Details)

The development shall be implemented strictly in accordance with the FPCR Arboriculture Assessment (as received by the Local Planning Authority on 21st April 2021). For the avoidance of doubt



**Development Control Committee Schedule
23/11/2021**

the tree protection fencing shall be installed in accordance with drawing 8916-T-03 (contained within the document) prior to the commencement of the development and shall be retained during the construction phase.

Reason:

To preserve trees and hedges on the site in the interests of visual amenity and the character of the area and to accord with policy ES8 of the Stroud District Local Plan (adopted) November 2015.

8. Highways (Provide access, turning and parking facilities)

For avoidance of doubt, each individual building shown on R9078-RLA-20-XX-DR-A-2103 Rev C (as received by the Local Planning Authority on 19th July 2021) shall not be occupied or brought into use until the access, parking (including all cycle parking) and turning facilities has been provided for each individual building as shown on that drawing.

Reason:

To ensure that appropriate measures are installed and in the interest of highway safety and amenity and to accord with Policy CP8 of the Stroud District Local Plan (adopted) November 2015.

9. Ground Conditions (EHO)

The development hereby approved shall not proceed until measures to deal with ground contamination, controlled waters and/or ground gas has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. For the avoidance of doubt the measures shall include all of the following investigation/information;

i) In accordance with the recommendations of the Zone E Phase 1 Desk Study, Ref 17151-RLE-20-XX-RP-0-0070 dated March 2020 (as received by the Local Planning Authority on 5th October 2020 a Phase 2 intrusive investigation report detailing all investigative works and sampling on site, together with the results of the analysis, undertaken in accordance with BS 10175:2011 + A2:2017 Investigation of Potentially Contaminated Sites - Code of Practice. Where required, the report shall include a detailed quantitative human health and environmental risk assessment.

ii). If identified as required by the above approved Phase 2 intrusive investigation report, a remediation scheme detailing how the remediation will be undertaken, the methods to be used and the outcomes to be achieved. A clear end-point of the remediation



**Development Control Committee Schedule
23/11/2021**

must be stated, such as site contaminant levels or a risk management action, as well as the method for validating the remediation. Any ongoing monitoring must also be outlined. No deviation shall be made from this scheme without prior written approval from the Local Planning Authority.

No part of the development hereby permitted shall be occupied until;

iii). Any previously unidentified contamination encountered during the works has been fully assessed and an appropriate remediation scheme submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

iv). A verification report detailing the remediation works undertaken and quality assurance certificates to show that the works have been carried out in full accordance with the approved methodology has been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority. Details of any post-remedial sampling and analysis to show that the site has reached the required clean-up criteria shall be included, together with the necessary documentation detailing what waste materials have been removed from the site.

Thereafter the development shall proceed strictly in accordance with the agreed methodology and information.

Reason:

To ensure that risks from land contamination is understood prior to works on site both during the construction phase to the future users of the land and neighbouring land are minimised, together with those to controlled waters, property and ecological systems, and to ensure that the development can be carried out safely without unacceptable risks to workers, neighbours and other offsite receptors. This is a pre-commencement condition to prevent unnecessary remediation or abortive works.

10. Working hours (EHO)

No construction site machinery or plant shall be operated, no process shall be carried out and no construction-related deliveries taken at or dispatched from the site except between the hours 08:00 and 18:00 on Mondays to Fridays, between 08:00 and 13:00 on Saturdays and not at any time on Sundays, Bank or Public Holidays.

Reason: In the interest of residential amenity and to accord with



**Development Control Committee Schedule
23/11/2021**

	<p>policy ES3 of the Stroud District Local Plan (adopted) November 2015.</p> <p>11. <u>Dust Management (EHO)</u></p> <p>Construction/demolition works shall not be commenced until a scheme specifying the provisions to be made to control dust emanating from the site has been submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority.</p> <p>Reason: In the interest of residential amenity and to accord with policy ES3 of the Stroud District Local Plan (adopted) November 2015. This is a pre-commencement condition to prevent unnecessary remediation or abortive works.</p> <p>12. <u>Construction Management Plan</u></p> <p>Prior to commencement of the development hereby permitted details of a construction management plan or construction method statement shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The approved plan/statement shall be adhered to throughout the demolition/construction period. The plan/statement shall include but not be restricted to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) Parking of vehicle of site operatives and visitors (including measures taken to ensure satisfactory access and movement for existing occupiers of neighbouring properties during construction); ii) Routes for construction traffic; iii) Any temporary access to the site; iv) Locations for loading/unloading and storage of plant, waste and construction materials; v) Method of preventing mud and dust being carried onto the highway; vi) Arrangements for turning vehicles; vii) Arrangements to receive abnormal loads or unusually large vehicles; and viii) Methods of communicating the Construction Management Plan to staff, visitors and neighbouring residents and businesses. <p>Reason: In the interest of highway safety and residential amenity and to accord with policy ES3 and EI12 of the Stroud District Local Plan (adopted) November 2015. This is a pre-commencement condition to prevent unnecessary remediation or abortive works.</p> <p>13. <u>Electric Vehicle Charging Points</u></p> <p>The dwellings hereby approved shall not be occupied until the infrastructure for Electric Vehicle Charging points for each of the</p>
--	---



**Development Control Committee Schedule
23/11/2021**

dwellings has been Installed. For the avoidance of doubt the infrastructure shall include ducting, electric cable to a dedicated consumer point on each dwelling. Thereafter the development shall be retained as such or replaced with charging equipment of the same, or improved specification.

Reason: To promote sustainable travel and healthy communities and to accord with Policy CP8 and E112 of the Stroud District Local Plan (Adopted) November 2015.

14. Cycle Parking

Prior to the first occupation of any dwelling hereby permitted secure and sheltered cycle storage facilities shall be provided within the curtilage of that dwelling for use in accordance with the local authorities' standards and those facilities shall be maintained for the duration of the development.

Reason: To promote sustainable travel and healthy communities and to accord with Policy CP8 and E112 of the Stroud District Local Plan (Adopted) November 2015.

15. Surface Water Maintenance

The dwellings hereby permitted shall not be occupied until a Surface Water Management and Maintenance Plan (SWMMP) for the lifetime of the development has been submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority.

For the avoidance of doubt the SWMMP shall include the following;

- i) appropriate arrangements for adoption by any public authority or statutory undertaker and any other arrangements to secure the operation of the scheme throughout its lifetime
- ii) Details of the access that is required to reach surface water management component for maintenance purposes.
- iii) Details of the measures for the safe and sustainable removal and disposal of waste periodically arising from drainage system, detailing the materials to be used and standard of work required including method statement.

Thereafter the agreed SUDS maintenance plan shall be implemented in full in accordance with the agreed terms and conditions.




**Development Control Committee Schedule
23/11/2021**

Reason: To ensure the continued operation and maintenance of drainage features serving the site and avoid flooding in accordance with Policies ES3 and ES4 of the adopted Stroud District Local Plan, November 2015.


Informatives:

1. The development hereby approved will involve works to be carried out on the public highway and the applicant/developer is required to enter into a legally binding Highway Works Agreement (including appropriate bond) with Gloucestershire County Council before works commence. The Agreement will specify the works and the terms and conditions under which they are to be carried out.
2. Please note that if consent is granted, the applicants are informed that this does not absolve them from complying with the relevant law protecting species, including obtaining and complying with the terms and conditions of any licenses required. All bat species are protected under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended) and the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended). Legal protection covers bats and elements of their habitats. A European Protected Species licence is required in order to allow prohibited activities, such as disturbing bats or damaging their breeding sites or resting places, for the purposes of this development.



This drawing is the copyright of Roberts Limbrick Ltd and should not be reproduced in whole or in part or used in any manner whatsoever without their written permission.
 Do Not scale off this drawing, use only figured dimensions and report any discrepancies or omissions to the Architect Immediately.

rev.	date	description
A	23/09/20	Red Line revised to include access roads, Blue Line shown



Roberts Limbrick
ARCHITECTS

project
 Littlecombe Dursley Phase 4
 Zone E


client
 ST. MODWEN HOMES

drawing
SITE LOCATION PLAN
 ZONE E
 Appendix
Agenda Item 4.1
 status
PLANNING

ROBERTS LIMBRICK LTD
 The Carriage Building, Bruton Way,
 Gloucester, GL1 1DG
 The Estates Office, 25 - 26 Gold Tops,
 Newport, NP20 4PG
 T. 03333 405 500
 mail@robertslimbrick.com
 www.robertslimbrick.com

Registered Office: England No. 06658029
 scale 1/1250 @ A3 originator code 9252
 date 19/08/20 author SB

drawing number rev.
R9078-RLA-20-XX-DR-A-2101 A
 region | originator | year | level | type | scale | number






Elevation A-A



Elevation A-A Cont.



Elevation B-B




Site Plan



Development Mix 9252 - Dursley Phase 4 - Zone E - 11031							
Preliminary							
House Type	Actual	Actual %	Parking Spaces*	Beds	Sq.m	Sq.ft	TOTAL SQFT
Affordable:							
1 Bed	2	7.1%	1	1	50.1	539	1,078.0
2 Bed	2	7.1%	1	1	56.2	605	1,210.0
3 Bed	4	14.3%	2	2	77.1	830	3,330.0
AFFORDABLE TOTAL		8	28.6%				
Open Market:							
3 Bed	6	21.4%	2	3	91.0	979	5,874.0
4 Bed	4	14.3%	2	4	109.0	1173	4,692.0
5 Bed	2	7.1%	1	4	124.1	1336	2,672.0
6 Bed	4	14.3%	2	4	125.9	1355	5,430.0
7 Bed	1	3.6%	1	4	138.9	1474	2,948.0
8 Bed	2	7.1%	1	4	140.6	1513	3,026.0
OM TOTAL		20	71.4%				
Totals		28	100.0%				30,240.0

This drawing is the copyright of Roberts Limbrick Ltd and should not be reproduced in whole or in part or used in any manner whatsoever without their written permission.
Do Not scale off this drawing, use only figured dimensions and report any discrepancies or omissions to the Architect immediately.

rev.	date	description
A	23/09/20	Red Line revised to include access roads. Blue Line shown
B	14/04/21	Revised site layout, apartments replaced with affordable houses and maisonettes, landscaping layout added, trees to remain and to be removed indicated.
C	25/06/21	House type HSB1513 revised to a 4 Bed house, becomes H4B1513. Revised on the drawing and the schedule.



Roberts Limbrick ARCHITECTS

project
LITTLECOMBE DURSLEY PHASE 4

client
ST. MODWEN HOMES

drawing
PROPOSED SITE LAYOUT PLAN ZONE E

status
PLANNING

ROBERTS LIMBRICK LTD
The Carriage Building, Bruton Way, Gloucester, GL1 1DG
The Estates Office, 25 - 26 Gold Tops, Newport, NP20 4PG
T. 03333 405 500
mail@robertslimbrick.com
www.robertslimbrick.com

Registered Office: England No. 06658029

scale 1/500 @ A1
date 12/02/20

originator code 9252
author SB

drawing number
R9078-RLA-20-XX-DR-A-2103 C


Appendix
Agenda Item 4.1

This page is intentionally left blank



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

Item No:	2
Application No.	S.21/1025/FUL
Site Address	Cambridge Avenue, Dursley, Gloucestershire, GL11 4AZ
Town/Parish	Dursley Town Council
Grid Reference	375964,197443
Application Type	Full Planning Application
Proposal	Demolition of existing buildings and erection of thirteen affordable dwellings, with landscaping, parking and associated works
Recommendation	Permission
Call in Request	Parish Council



Agenda Item 4.2

	<h3>Development Control Committee Schedule</h3> <h3>23/11/2021</h3>
--	---

Applicant's Details	Stroud District Council Stroud District Council, Ebley Mill, Ebley Wharf, Stroud, Gloucestershire GL5 4UB
Agent's Details	Mr Josh Downey West Waddy ADP, The Malthouse, Abingdon, OX14 5EB,
Case Officer	John Chaplin
Application Validated	22.04.2021
CONSULTEES	
Comments Received	SDC Water Resources Engineer Development Coordination (E) Flood Resilience Land Drainage Conservation Team South Biodiversity Officer Environmental Health (E) Arboricultural Officer (E) Mr M Taylor - South Cotswold Group Dursley Town Council Contaminated Land Officer (E)
Constraints	Affecting the Setting of a Cons Area Consult area Neighbourhood Plan Dursley Town Council Affecting a Public Right of Way Settlement Boundaries (LP)
OFFICER'S REPORT	

MAIN ISSUES

- * Principle of development
- * Design and appearance
- * Residential Amenity
- * Highways
- * Affordable Housing
- * Ecology
- * Flood risk
- * Sustainability

DESCRIPTION OF SITE

The application relates to a largely rectangular site which currently houses Cambridge House, a former sheltered housing complex comprising of a mixture of bungalow and flat style residential units.

The site sits within the residential settlement development limits and is not within either the Conservation Area or Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB). The nearest listed buildings ("Gwithian", "Woodmancote Farmhouse" and "Farm Building to West of



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

Woodmancote Farmhouse”) are located on the nearby A4135, around 70m south-west of the application site. There are no Tree Preservation Orders (TPO) on the site, however there are a number of mature and prominent trees within the site boundary.

PROPOSAL

This application is for the demolition of existing buildings and then the erection of thirteen affordable dwellings with the associated landscaping, parking and other related works.

REVISED DETAILS

Revised plans were received on 23rd August making amendments to the layout to address the initial comments from GCC Highways.

MATERIALS

Roof: Standing seam metal

Walls: Light coloured/buff brick and timber cladding (porches only)

REPRESENTATIONS

Statutory Consultees:

Dursley Town Council

The Council supports the proposed development of thirteen two and three bedroom affordable dwellings with parking on the site. The land is identified as a Potential Area for Enhancement in the Dursley Neighbourhood Development Plan 2018.

The Council does however have objections around the design of the development. The Council objects to the proposed metal roof material. It would have a detrimental impact on the character and appearance of the area, contrary to policies CP8 and HC1 in the Stroud District Local Plan 2015 and policies D1 and H1 in the Dursley Neighbourhood Development Plan 2018.

The proposed roof material is very industrial in appearance and out of keeping for the area. Given the difference in ground levels between this site and Woodmancote, the roof areas will still be visible when viewed from Woodmancote. The Council objects to the proposed design in relation to the appearance of the housing. It would have a detrimental impact on the character and appearance of the area, contrary to policies CP8 and HC1 in the Stroud District Local Plan 2015 and policies D1 and H1 in the Dursley Neighbourhood Development Plan 2018.

The development should include more variety in the design and house appearance, so that it is in keeping with this area of the town. The recent development at the Site Of Former Woodmancote Garage, 18 Woodmancote, Dursley was highlighted as an example where this has been achieved successfully. The proposed Cambridge House development should have a variety of finishes to the frontages, to remove the uniformity of the development when viewed from Woodmancote.



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

The Council originally raised the above comments regarding the design of the development at the pre-application stage and has agreed to request that this planning application is referred to the Development Control Committee.

Ramblers Association

We note that footpath CDU62 runs alongside this side and require that it be kept open through the works and not impeded by the result, as the proposed site layout indicates.

GCC Highways

Gloucestershire County Council, the Highway Authority acting in its role as Statutory Consultee has undertaken a full assessment of this planning application. Based on the appraisal of the development proposals the Highways Development Management Manager on behalf of the County Council, under Article 18 of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order, 2015 recommends that this application be deferred.

The justification for this decision is provided below.

The development would replace 16 bungalows with 13 two- and three-bedroomed dwellings. As such the development is not expected to generate a significant number of additional vehicle trips.

The submitted Transport Note comments that the site is in a very sustainable location and is well connected for all users including pedestrians and cyclists. It is within acceptable distances to a large range of services and amenities. There is a public right of way adjacent to the site but it is assumed that this would not be affected in any way by the proposed development.

It is proposed to serve three of the dwellings (Plots 1 to 3) and their associated parking by a widening of the existing access from Cambridge Avenue. The access would be formed as a priority junction onto Cambridge Avenue and designed in accordance with appropriate national and local standards. Parking spaces for the other dwellings would be located directly off Cambridge Avenue.

Two on-site car parking spaces would be allocated for each of the dwellings and an additional three spaces would be provided for visitor parking.

Reference is made to the local standards for the provision of cycle parking and it is proposed that 2 parking spaces would be provided for each dwelling, giving an overall provision of 26 spaces.

The provision of the widened access onto Cambridge Avenue may be assumed to require some works to the public highway. It should be anticipated that any works on the highway that are outside of the application site would require a s.278 agreement to be entered into with the local highway authority.



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

While considering that the development proposals would be acceptable in principle, the highway authority has concerns regarding certain specific aspects of the proposals, as set out below.

The proposed access from Cambridge Avenue to serve Plots 11, 12 and 13 is located on the inside of a bend in the road and it appears that visibility to the left from the access point would be limited by a large tree and hedges. Detail should be provided to confirm that a suitable visibility splay (commensurate with a 20mph traffic speed) would be available from the point of access.

While tandem parking as proposed can be accepted, it is not ideal and concern regarding the tandem parking was raised at the pre-application stage. This is particularly relevant for plots 4 and 5, where the spaces are located very close to the road junction and where there could be the need for manoeuvring vehicles if the one parked closest to the house was required. Consideration should be given to changing the layout of these parking spaces.

The dwellings are arranged in terraces and rear access is shown to be provided by a pathway along the back of the gardens. Sheds appear to be shown within the rear gardens and it is assumed that these would provide the secure and sheltered storage for cycles. Bin storage locations are shown for Plots 1 – 3, and for Plots 11 – 13. Bin storage has not been shown for Plots 4 – 10 and if it is proposed that the rear gardens would be used for this purpose, the bin drag distances required for a roadside collection would be excessive.

While the highway authority does not seek to object to the proposals in principle, it considers that further attention should be given to the matters above. Details should be provided to describe and confirm the proposals for cycle storage, bin store locations and access routes to address the above concerns.

The Highway Authority therefore submits a response of deferral until the required information has been provided and considered.

Revised GCC Highways:

Gloucestershire County Council, the Highway Authority acting in its role as Statutory Consultee has undertaken a full assessment of this planning application. Based on the appraisal of the development proposals the Highways Development Management Manager on behalf of the County Council, under Article 18 of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order, 2015 has no objections subject to conditions and financial obligations.

The justification for this decision is provided below.

The development would replace 16 bungalows with 13 two- and three-bedroomed dwellings. As such the development is not expected to generate a significant number of additional vehicle trips.

The submitted Transport Note comments that the site is in a very sustainable location and is well connected for all users including pedestrians and cyclists. It is within acceptable distances to a large range of services and amenities. There is a public right of way adjacent



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

to the site but it is assumed that this would not be affected in any way by the proposed development.

It is proposed to serve three of the dwellings (Plots 1 to 3) and their associated parking by a widening of the existing access from Cambridge Avenue. The access would be formed as a priority junction onto Cambridge Avenue and designed in accordance with appropriate national and local standards. Parking spaces for the other dwellings would be located directly off Cambridge Avenue.

Two on-site car parking spaces would be allocated for each of the dwellings and an additional three spaces would be provided for visitor parking.

Reference is made to the local standards for the provision of cycle parking and it is proposed that 2 parking spaces would be provided for each dwelling, giving an overall provision of 26 spaces.

The provision of the widened access onto Cambridge Avenue may be assumed to require some works to the public highway. It should be anticipated that any works on the highway that are outside of the application site would require a s.278 agreement to be entered into with the local highway authority.

The proposed access from Cambridge Avenue to serve Plots 11,12 and 13 is located on the inside of a bend in the road and it would be important to ensure that suitable visibility from the access point in each direction along the road was available.

A revised drawing no 9089-WW-XX-A-0010-P08 has been submitted to demonstrate the visibility splays of 2.4metres by 25 metres (commensurate with a 20mph traffic speed) would be achieved. It is presumed that existing hedge would be removed as required to make the visibility splay to the left available.

The majority of parking is proposed is proposed to be in a tandem arrangement, with two spaces per dwelling. The revised drawing has shown an amended layout for the parking spaces for Plots 4 and 5, where these would be located close to the road junction. The revised layout would minimise the amount of vehicle manoeuvring required.

The dwellings are arranged in terraces and rear access is shown to be provided by a pathway along the back of the gardens. Sheds have been shown within the rear gardens which would provide secure and sheltered storage for cycle.

The revised drawings show that bin storage for Plots 4-10 would be located at the front of the buildings.

The Highway Authority has undertaken a robust assessment of the planning application. Based on the analysis of the information submitted the Highway Authority concludes that there would not be an unacceptable impact on Highway Safety or a severe impact on congestion. There are no justifiable grounds on which an objection could be maintained.



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

Conditions

Prior to the commencement of the development hereby permitted details of a construction management plan or construction method statement shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The approved plan/statement shall be adhered to throughout the demolition/construction period. The plan/statement shall include but not be restricted to:

- o Parking of vehicle of site operatives and visitors (including measures taken to ensure satisfactory access and movement for existing occupiers of neighbouring properties during construction);
- o Routes for construction traffic;
- o Any temporary access to the site;
- o Locations for loading/unloading and storage of plant, waste and construction materials;
- o Method of preventing mud and dust being carried onto the highway;
- o Arrangements for turning vehicles;
- o Arrangements to receive abnormal loads or unusually large vehicles; and
- o Methods of communicating the Construction Management Plan to staff, visitors and neighbouring residents and businesses.

Reason: In the interests of safe operation of the adopted highway in the lead into development both during the demolition and construction phase of the development.

Prior to the commencement of the development hereby permitted visibility splays shall be provided in accordance with the approved plans. Nothing shall be planted, erected and/or allowed to grow on the triangular area of the land so formed which would obstruct the visibility described above.

Reason: In the interests of highway safety.

Prior to the first occupation of the development hereby permitted the means of access for vehicles and pedestrians shall be constructed and completed in accordance with the approved plans.

Reason: In the interest of highway safety.

Prior to the first occupation of the development hereby permitted the car/vehicle parking areas (and turning space) shown on the approved plans shall be completed and thereafter the areas shall be kept free of obstruction and available for the parking of vehicles associated with the development.

Reason: To ensure that there are adequate parking facilities to serve the development constructed to an acceptable standard.

Prior to the first occupation of the development hereby permitted the cycle storage facilities shall be made available for use in accordance with the approved plans and those facilities shall be maintained for the duration of the development.



**Development Control Committee Schedule
23/11/2021**

Reason: To ensure the provision and availability of adequate cycle parking.

Prior to the first occupation of the development hereby permitted, the proposed car parking spaces shall be designed to enable charging of plug-in and other ultra-low emission vehicles.

Reason: To ensure that the development incorporates facilities for charging plug-in and other ultra-low emission vehicles in accordance with paragraph 110 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Informatives

The development hereby approved will involve works to be carried out in the public highway and the applicant/developer is required to enter into a legally binding Highways Works Agreement (including appropriate bond) with Gloucestershire County Council before works commence. The Agreement will specify the works and the terms and conditions under which they are to be carried out.

SDC Environmental Health

With respect to the above application, I would recommend that any permission should have the following conditions and informative attached: -

Conditions: 1. No construction site machinery or plant shall be operated, no process shall be carried out and no construction-related deliveries taken at or dispatched from the site except between the hours 08:00 and 18:00 on Mondays to Fridays, between 08:00 and 13:00 on Saturdays and not at any time on Sundays, Bank or Public Holidays.

2. Construction/demolition works shall not be commenced until a scheme specifying the provisions to be made to control dust emanating from the site has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.”

SDC Contaminated Land Officer

Thank you for consulting me on the above application. I have read the submitted Ground Investigation Report, Ref 4773 dated 22 February 2021. The preliminary sampling found some elevated levels of contamination in near surface Made Ground. The report recommends further site investigation to establish the extent of the contamination and to determine whether any remediation is required. As such, please attach the full contaminated land condition to any permission granted.

GCC as Local Lead Flood Authority (LLFA)

The LLFA has no objection to this proposal. The drainage strategy provided by Hydrock is detailed enough that the LLFA would not require drainage conditions to be applied to any permission granted against this application.

SDC Water Resource Engineer

No observations

SDC Biodiversity Team

Acceptable subject to the following conditions:



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

All works shall be carried out in full accordance with the recommendations contained in the Preliminary Ecological Appraisal, Worcestershire Wildlife Consultancy, dated July 2020 already submitted with the planning application and agreed in principle with the local planning authority prior to determination.

REASON: To protect and enhance the site for biodiversity in accordance with paragraph 174 of the National Planning Policy Framework, Policy ES6 of the Stroud District Local Plan 2015 and in order for the Council to comply with Section 40 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006.

Within 3 months of commencement, a specification (including methodology and programme of implementation) for the enhancement of biodiversity through the provision of bird and bat boxes, hedgehog friendly fencing and a native planting scheme shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved specification and programme of implementation and be retained thereafter.

REASON: To protect and enhance the site for biodiversity in accordance with paragraph 175 of the National Planning Policy Framework, Policy ES6 of the Stroud District Local Plan 2015 and in order for the Council to comply with Section 40 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006.

The submitted report has outlined appropriate mitigation that should be adhered to throughout the construction phase to ensure nesting birds and badgers are safeguarded from the development. The planning system should aim to deliver overall net gains for biodiversity where possible as laid out in the National Planning Policy Framework and other planning policy documents. The submitted report did offer advice with regards to suitable bird nesting boxes, the Biodiversity Team encourage the applicant to install these features. In addition, the Biodiversity Team recommend integrating features such as bat access tiles, integrated bat boxes and hedgehog friendly fencing. Furthermore, any planting should include native species which provide fruits, nuts and pollinating opportunities. These enhancement features should be considered at an early stage to avoid potential conflict with any external lighting plans.

SDC Arboriculture Officer

I have no objection to the application subject to the following conditions;

1) The development must be fully compliant with the Tree Survey, Arboriculture Impact Assessment, Method Statement and Tree Protection Plan written by Tim Pursey dated June 2020. Reason: To preserve trees and hedges on the site in the interests of visual amenity and the character of the area in accordance with Stroud District Local Plan Policy ES8 and with guidance in revised National Planning Policy Framework paragraphs 15, 170(b) & 175 (c) & (d).

2) Prior to commencement of the development hereby approved (including any ground clearance, tree works, demolition, or construction) a pre-commencement meeting must take place with the main contractor / ground workers with the local planning authority tree officer. Reason: To preserve trees and hedges on the site in the interests of visual amenity and the



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

character of the area in accordance with Stroud District Local Plan Policy ES8 and with guidance in revised National Planning Policy Framework paragraphs 15, 170(b) & 175 (c) & (d).

3) Details of a scheme of hard and soft landscaping for development must be submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority. The landscaping scheme shall include details of hard landscaping areas and boundary treatments (including the type and colour of materials), written specifications (including cultivation and other operations associated with tree, shrub, hedge or grass establishment), schedules of plants noting species, plant size and proposed numbers/ densities. Any plants which fail within a five year period must be replaced. Reason: To preserve trees and hedges on the site in the interests of visual amenity and the character of the area in accordance with Stroud District Local Plan Policy ES8 and with guidance in revised National Planning Policy Framework paragraphs 15, 170(b) & 175 (c) & (d).

4) Monitoring tree protection. Prior to commencement of the development hereby approved (including any ground clearance, tree works, demolition or construction), details of all tree protection monitoring and site supervision by a qualified tree specialist (where arboriculture expertise is required) shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development thereafter shall be implemented in strict accordance with the approved details. Reason: To preserve trees and hedges on the site in the interests of visual amenity and the character of the area in accordance with Stroud District Local Plan Policy ES8 and with guidance in revised National Planning Policy Framework paragraphs 15, 170(b) & 175 (c) & (d).”

SDC Conservation Team

Thank you for consulting the Conservation Officers on this matter. We would like to make the following comments: The above site is situated near the Dursley Conservation Area. Special attention must be paid to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character and appearance of the area. This proposal is for the demolition of existing buildings and erection of thirteen affordable dwellings, with landscaping, parking and associated works at Cambridge Avenue. The application site is located in close proximity to the Conservation Area. The proposal will not cause any harm to the character and appearance of the area. The application has been assessed in accordance with Section 72(1) of the Planning (Listed Building and Conservation Areas) Act 1990.

Public:

At the time of writing one public comment had been received stating:

“I am concerned about the footpath next to our house, that children use for school being very close to the proposed car parking next to the new houses being built and a slip road. It will be too close and dangerous. Also I would like assurance that the proposed houses will not overlook our property only maybe landing windows which are small. Will all houses have car parking on there properties and parking on the road be minimal or not at all. Will the houses be for local families and will any of them be for sale. Thank you”



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

NATIONAL AND LOCAL PLANNING POLICIES

National Planning Policy Framework revised July 2021.

Available to view at
<http://www.communities.gov.uk/documents/planningandbuilding/pdf/2116950.pdf>

Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990

Section 66(1) and Section 72(1).

Stroud District Local Plan.

Policies together with the preamble text and associated supplementary planning documents are available to view on the Councils website:

www.stroud.gov.uk/localplan

Local Plan policies considered for this application include:

- CP1 – Presumption in favour of sustainable development.
- CP4 – Place Making.
- CP7 – Lifetime communities.
- CP8 – New housing development.
- CP9 – Affordable housing.
- CP13 – Demand management and sustainable travel measures.
- CP14 – High quality sustainable development.
- EI12 – Promoting transport choice and accessibility.
- ES1 – Sustainable construction and design.
- ES2 – Renewable or low carbon energy generation.
- ES3 – Maintaining quality of life within our environmental limits.
- ES4 – Water resources, quality and flood risk.
- ES5 – Air quality.
- ES6 – Providing for biodiversity and geodiversity.
- ES7 – Landscape character.
- ES8 – Trees, hedgerows and woodlands.
- ES10 – Valuing our historic environment and assets.
- ES12 – Better design of places.

The proposal should also be considered against the guidance laid out in:

- Residential Design Guide SPG (2000)
- Stroud District Landscape Assessment SPG (2000)
- Planning Obligations SPD (2017)

Dursley Neighbourhood Development Plan 2018 – 2031 Made on 21st February 2019

- H1 Housing developments
 - H2 Housing Quality
 - D1 Character and Design
 - T1 Car parking
 - T2 Improve connections for Cyclists and Pedestrians
- and The Dursley Landscape Character Assessment 2015



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

The application has a number of considerations which both cover the principle of development and the details of the proposed scheme which will be considered in turn below:

PRINCIPLE OF DEVELOPMENT

The Local Plan has been adopted and full weight should be given to its contents, in accordance with paragraphs 12 and 15 of the NPPF. There is a presumption in favour of sustainable development as applied locally through the policies contained within the Local Plan. Consequently, decision makers should approve proposals that accord with the Local Plan without delay, but should refuse proposed development that conflicts with the Local Plan, unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

The application proposes 13 affordable homes, separated into 3 distinct blocks and will provide a mix of 7no. 3-bedroom homes and 6no. 2-bedroom homes.

The application site lies within the residential settlement boundary limits of Dursley identified as a 1st tier settlement within the Settlement Hierarchy of the adopted Local Plan. First tier settlements are the primary focus for the delivery of new growth and development and are considered as sustainable locations with access to existing services, community facilities, employment and public transport and other sustainable modes of transport. The proposal is therefore in accordance with Policy CP3 of the Local Plan and H1 of the NDP.

The site already benefits from a residential use as it has until recently provided accommodation for elderly residents within the district. As such, the site is not proposing to be subject to a change of use, rather is proposing to remodel the type, form and tenure of the residential units on the site.

The Dursley NDP also highlights Cambridge House as a potential area for enhancement (no. 4). The NDP is supportive of a high quality residential re-development of the site but also seeks a mix of tenures, sufficient car parking and enhancement to the local adjacent green play space.

The principle of development is therefore considered to be acceptable.

DESIGN AND APPEARANCE

The houses are designed as two storey with small dormer features. These have a contemporary appearance with their design and choice of materials. The majority of the proposed dwellings are fronting onto Cambridge Avenue, creating an attractive streetscape with the houses addressing the road in a traditional manner, forming a common street scene. Two shared access cul-de sacs lead off from Cambridge Avenue, serving a smaller number of units apiece, creating mini communities within the overall scheme. The distinct areas will give the development a sense of place and character, as well as providing the opportunity for a sense of community ownership within the areas.

The units themselves propose to replace the large unbroken building occupying the site presently. This existing building is long and runs parallel to Cambridge Avenue and whilst mainly single storey with two storey element in the middle it creates a current visually



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

dominant feature in the street scene. Whilst elements of the proposal are taller, the proposed development will reduce the overall perceived footprint of the site being broken into 3 blocks compared to the long continuous form of the existing building.

This part of the Dursley is made up of the ranger estate, a 1960's development. Whilst there is no overriding surrounding character, the modern design of the proposal is still based on the forms and proportions of the local buildings.

With the pitched roof and gables these create a simple form and elevations. Only a row of 7 units are proposed together and these have a vertical rhythmical pattern with each house divided up by the gable roof form, the downpipe detailing and the pattern of the window and door openings. There is also a slight step in the frontage to avoid a long linear development.

Concerns have been raised regarding the appearance and selection of materials for the proposal including from the Town Council.

The materials proposed are shown as standing seam metal roofing, a light coloured brick walling with some timber cladding. The choice of materials has been queried and whilst the elevation drawings appear to show almost a grey finish, a light coloured brick has been proposed. These materials are modern but are considered to be a similar colour pallet to the surrounding area and appropriate for the development in this part of the town. The timber cladding and entrance feature also acts as an accent making it clear where each property is located and avoids a long continuous drab monotony appearance.

Standing seam metal is a new roofing materials in this area but the dark colour is similar to a slate or dark concrete tile. The roof line and form remains residential in appearance and does not create a harsh industrial character.

It is not considered necessary to break the elevation further with lots of different colours or additional materials as this would not necessarily improve the scheme and has the potential to have a detrimental impact on the appearance, make it overtly prominent and out of keeping.

To retain control over the specific materials/bricks to be used, to make sure the final choice is appropriate, samples can be required via a condition to be submitted and approved.

Although being located relatively near to the Woodmancote Conservation Area, the site does not sit within it. The Conservation Area is located along the linear route of the A4135 and has a different character to the surrounding estate housing areas which this application site is located within. With the separation it is considered that the proposed design would not harm the historic significance or setting of the conservation area no any nearby listed buildings.

LANDSCAPE SETTING

Dursley is surrounded by the Cotswolds AONB with the landscape setting and views being an important part of the character and charm of Dursley. This includes views to the surrounding countryside including the distinctive hills like Peaked Down and Downham Hill



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

but also the wooded valley sides towards the West and South of the town which create the backdrop of steep wooded slopes above Woodmancote.

Whilst some very localised views will experience change as with any new development, due to the limited scale of the development and its position within the settlement and on the site, key views including those identified in the NDP will not be significantly altered.

It is therefore considered that NDP Policy ES2 is satisfied and the views can still be enjoyed.

RESIDENTIAL AMENITY

Residential amenity has been considered throughout the application process. All units have access to a private garden space and distances between residential units, and relevant window openings have been considered acceptable as to not cause any significant levels of overbearing or loss of privacy.

Existing residents external of the site are not considered to be negatively impacted as a result of the proposed development in relation to their residential amenity. The main function of the site, as residential, remains the same and as such, neighbouring residents are expected to experience similar living conditions as a result of the development.

HIGHWAYS

Access onto the site will be via direct plot access for the majority of units, with two shared driveways off Cambridge Avenue serving 3 units apiece.

The submitted transport information indicates that the proposed development is forecast to generate the same number of trips as the existing site in the AM Peak with only slightly more in the PM Peak, one additional trip every ten minutes. This level of net change in vehicle movements would not have a material impact on the local highway network, and would not result in an unacceptable highway safety issue or a severe impact on the capacity of the highway network.

Two on plot vehicle parking spaces are proposed for each unit, with three visitor spaces also proposed. 26 bicycle spaces are also proposed within the scheme. This is above the adopted parking standard in the Local Plan however, the NDP Policy T1 and NDP Potential Area for Enhancement 4 Cambridge House supports and encourages a higher provision of car parking to address a specific concern within Dursley.

An improvement to the existing access width has been proposed and visibility splays onto Cambridge Avenue for the private drives (Plots 12-13) have been shown to be achieved, meaning vehicles can enter and egress the site safely. Direct driveway accesses onto Cambridge Avenue are also proposed for the remaining proposed properties. With the local orientation and nature of the road this is not considered inappropriate or unusual compared to other parts of the estate/Cambridge Avenue.

Gloucestershire County Council Highway Department were consulted on the scheme and provided a formal consultation. After a slight layout amendment at their request, the Local



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

Highway Authority are content that the arrangement of the development is acceptable in terms of its impact on highway safety for all road users.

A public footpath is located adjacent but to the rear of the site. The route will be maintained being outside the site. GCC and Ramblers Association have not raised any concerns but if this is affected by the construction phase further discussions with GCC PROW team may be required.

The site is well connected on bus routes, pedestrian routes including the adjacent public right of way. Whilst improvements to wider cycle connections, as encouraged by NDP Policy T2, are not altered by this small scheme, cycle provision onsite has been included. It is therefore still considered that the application proposes a sustainable development in terms of vehicular, pedestrian and cyclist connectivity.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING

This application seeks to provide 100% affordable rented homes which will be retained by Stroud District Council. As the applicant is Stroud District Council it is not possible to secured the affordable housing via a normal S106 legal agreement. However, whilst retention of the houses as affordable would be controlled via other parts of the council (with oversight via other committees) to retain the planning control and transparency, in these unique situations, the affordable housing will be controlled via the proposed planning condition.

ECOLOGY

The application site is currently covered predominantly in built development. The submitted ecological reports didn't find any biodiversity features of any particular significance which was confirmed by the Council's Biodiversity team.


There are however a number of trees within the site boundary. Whilst a few of the small ones will have to be removed the tree protection method statement, root protection zone and protective fencing have been proposed particularly for the mature Beech tree to the South of the site and the Cherry tree to the front. This will provide protection throughout the construction phase.

A number of further conditions are also proposed in order to ensure the site is suitably developed as to not damage any ecology present eg to safeguard nesting birds and badgers, and to enhance the ecological opportunities of the site through for example bird boxes, hedgehog friendly fencing and native planting.

FLOOD RISK AND DRAINAGE

The application does not lie within flood zone 2 or 3 and is therefore at the lowest risk of experiencing flooding events.

Where possible, stormwater infiltration is proposed, but where this is not possible due to limited size of the site and proximity to the highway, private roofs are to drain into the existing sewer east of the site. On site cellular attenuation storage has been proposed along with details of its maintenance. This will hold storm surface water with a restricted discharge rate.



Development Control Committee Schedule 23/11/2021

The submitted Technical Note demonstrate that for a 1in100yr+40% climate change storm event no flooding will occur.

GCC as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and the SDC Drainage Engineer have raised no concerns with the submitted drainage information. Implementation and ongoing maintenance can be required via an approved documents condition.

The proposed Foul water is proposed to connect to the existing foul water sewer connection on site.

SUSTAINABILITY

The Local Plan and NDP seek to encourage energy efficiency and sustainable construction.

The main sustainable element of the proposal is the site's location within the existing settlement with access to existing services and facilities.

The scheme has been designed with South facing side elevations to allow Solar panels on the roofs.

Electric vehicle charging and space for cycle storage has been proposed and will be required.

The design of the buildings has allowed for future alterations eg all bathrooms are large to allow space for conversion to accessible wet rooms.

The choice of materials for example the roof would have relatively lower embodied carbon for manufacture and delivery, the reduced maintenance requirement and longer lifecycle compared to alternative materials.

OBLIGATIONS

The Cambridge Avenue Play Area is not within the application site and is therefore not adversely affected by the scheme. Whilst the NDP encourages enhancement of the area as the scheme is 100% affordable a contribution has not been requested.

As the scheme seeks to provide 100% affordable housing which will be secured via the use of planning condition no other planning obligations have been sort.

THE PLANNING BALANCE AND CONCLUSION

The starting point for making decisions in relation to planning applications is the development plan. In this instance, the proposed development is considered to be sustainable and acceptable in principle and is consistent with both the Local Plan and Dursley NDP. Therefore, in accordance with paragraph 47 of the National Planning Policy Framework the planning application should be approved unless there are material considerations that indicate otherwise.

The proposal seeks to provide a 100% affordable housing scheme within a sustainable location. This can be attributed substantial weight in the decision making process.



**Development Control Committee Schedule
23/11/2021**

Ecological enhancements have been proposed, drainage and highways technical issues have been adequately addressed by the scheme. The impact on existing neighbour residents has also been considered.

Whilst concern has been raised about the design and materials, Officers are satisfied that the proposed development would not result in an unacceptable impact on the character of the site or its surrounding locality and would not result in harm to designated and non-designated heritage assets.

It is therefore considered that the scheme would result in a positive planning balance. Accordingly, Officers recommend that the proposed development is approved.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that Planning Permission is **GRANTED** subject to the proposed planning conditions.

HUMAN RIGHTS

In compiling this recommendation the Local Planning Authority has given full consideration to all aspects of the Human Rights Act 1998 in relation to the applicant and/or the occupiers of any neighbouring or affected properties. In particular regard has been had to Article 8 of the ECHR (Right to Respect for private and family life) and the requirement to ensure that any interference with the right in this Article is both permissible and proportionate. On analysing the issues raised by the application no particular matters, other than those referred to in this report, warranted any different action to that recommended.

Subject to the following conditions:	<p>1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Reason: To comply with the requirements of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by Section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.</p> <p>2. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in all respects in strict accordance with the approved plans listed below:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Site Location Plan – drawing ref: 908-WW-B1-00-A-0000 received on 22.4.21 Proposed Site Layout (Ground Floor) – drawing ref: 908-WW-B1-XX-A-0010-P08 received on 23.8.21; Proposed Site Layout (Roof Plan) – drawing ref: 908-WW-B1-XX-A-0013-P08 received on 23.8.21; Parameter Plan Materiality – drawing ref: 908-WW-B1-XX-A-0014-A received on 09.11.2021;</p>
---	--



**Development Control Committee Schedule
23/11/2021**

House Types 01 and 02 Plans – drawing ref: 908-WW-B1-XX-A-0110-P05 received on 22.04.21;
 House Types 01 and 02 Elevations – drawing ref: 908-WW-B1-XX-A-0111-P03 received on 22.04.21;
 House Type 03 Plans and Elevation – drawing ref: 908-WW-B1-XX-A-0112-P05 received on 23.08.21;
 House Type 03 Elevations – drawing ref: 908-WW-B1-XX-A-0113-P03 received on 22.04.21;
 House Types 04 and 05 Plans – drawing ref: 908-WW-B1-XX-A-0114-P05 received on 22.04.21;
 House Types 04 and 05 Elevations – drawing ref: 908-WW-B1-XX-A-0115-P05 received on 22.04.21;
 Proposed Streetscene – drawing ref: 908-WW-B1-XX-A-0200-P03 received on 22.04.21;

Boundary Fence and Gate Detail – drawing ref: 908-WW-B1-XX-A-0500 received on 22.04.21;

Boundary Fence and Gate Detail – drawing ref: 908-WW-B1-XX-A-0501 received on 22.04.21.

Proposed drainage strategy received 22.4.21 - Hydrock Consultants Ltd 16764-HYD-XX-XX-TN-C-7000 Revision 1 dated 3 March 2021

Proposed Drainage Operations and Maintenance Manual received 22.4.21 - Hydrock Consultants Ltd 16764-HYD-XX-XX-TN-C-7001 revision 1 dated 3 March 2021

Arboricultural Method Statement and Tree Protection Plan (TP 2780/2106/TPP) - Tim Pursey 2021 received on 03 Aug 2021.

Reason: To ensure that the development is carried out in accordance with the approved plans and in the interests of good planning.

3. No above ground works shall commence on site until details, including samples and colours where required, of the materials used in the construction of the external surfaces of the development hereby permitted have been submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority. This condition shall apply notwithstanding any indication as to these matters that have been given in the current application. The materials to be used in the development shall be in accordance with the approved details and retained in perpetuity unless otherwise approved by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To enable the Local Planning Authority to ensure the satisfactory appearance of the development, in accordance with Policies CP8 and CP14 of the adopted Stroud District Local Plan, November 2015.



**Development Control Committee Schedule
23/11/2021**

4. No development shall commence until a scheme for the provision of affordable housing as part of the development has been submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority. The affordable housing shall be provided in accordance with the approved scheme and shall meet the definition of affordable housing set out in the Glossary to the NPPF or any future guidance that replaces it. The scheme shall include:

i) the numbers, type, tenure and location on the site of the affordable housing provision which shall consist of not less than 30% of the dwellings.

ii) the timing of the construction of the affordable housing and its phasing relative to the occupancy of any open-market housing (if part of the scheme).

iii) details of the management of the affordable housing or the arrangements for the transfer of the affordable housing to another Registered Provider.

iv) the arrangements to ensure that the affordable housing provision is affordable for both first and subsequent occupiers.

v) the occupancy criteria to be used for determining qualifying occupiers of the affordable housing and the means by which such occupancy criteria shall be enforced.

The development shall be implemented strictly in accordance with the scheme so approved and maintained thereafter as such.

Reason: The development proposes more than 4 dwellings and therefore a minimum of 30% of the dwellings are required to be made affordable in accordance with Policy CP9 of the adopted Stroud District Local Plan (November 2015).

5. The dwellings hereby permitted shall not be occupied until details of a scheme of hard and soft landscaping for the site has to be submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority. This shall include the type, height and position of the proposed boundary treatments, species and size of plant, planting distances/densities and details of how the planting will be undertaken.

Reason: In the interests of the visual amenities of the area and in accordance with Policies HC1 and CP14 of the adopted Stroud District Local Plan, November 2015.

6. All hard and soft landscape works shall be completed in full accordance with the approved scheme, within the first planting season following completion of the development hereby approved, or in accordance with a programme submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority. Any plants which fail within a five



**Development Control Committee Schedule
23/11/2021**

	<p>year period must be replaced with a similar species and size of plant.</p> <p>Reason: In the interests of the visual amenities of the area and in accordance with Policies HC1 and CP14 of the adopted Stroud District Local Plan, November 2015.</p> <p>7. The development shall be undertaken in accordance with the arboriculture method statement (Tim Pursey 2021) including the Tree Protection Plan ref: (TP 2780/2106/TPP) received on the 03 Aug 2021 and all tree and root protection measures outline shall be implemented strictly in accordance with the approved details.</p> <p>Reason: To preserve trees and hedges on the site in the interests of visual amenity and the character of the area in accordance with Policy ES8 of the adopted Stroud District Local Plan 2015.</p> <p>8. All works shall be carried out in full accordance with the recommendations contained in the Preliminary Ecological Appraisal, Worcestershire Wildlife Consultancy, dated July 2020 already submitted with the planning application and agreed in principle with the local planning authority prior to determination.</p> <p>Reason: To protect and enhance the site for biodiversity in accordance with paragraph 174 of the National Planning Policy Framework, Policy ES6 of the Stroud District Local Plan 2015 and in order for the Council to comply with Section 40 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006.</p> <p>9. Within 3 months of commencement, a specification (including methodology and programme of implementation) for the enhancement of biodiversity through the provision of bird and bat boxes, hedgehog friendly fencing and a native planting scheme shall be submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved specification and programme of implementation and be retained thereafter.</p> <p>Reason: To protect and enhance the site for biodiversity in accordance with paragraph 175 of the National Planning Policy Framework, Policy ES6 of the Stroud District Local Plan 2015 and in order for the Council to comply with Section 40 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006.</p> <p>10. The dwellings hereby permitted shall not be occupied until a SUDS management and maintenance plan for the lifetime of the development has been submitted to and approved by the Local</p>
--	---



**Development Control Committee Schedule
23/11/2021**

Planning Authority.

For the avoidance of doubt shall include the following;

- i) appropriate arrangements for adoption by any public authority or statutory undertaker and any other arrangements to secure the operation of the scheme throughout its lifetime
- ii) Details of the access that is required to reach surface water management component for maintenance purposes.
- iii) Details of the measures for the safe and sustainable removal and disposal of waste periodically arising from drainage system, detailing the materials to be used and standard of work required including method statement.

Thereafter the agreed SUDS maintenance plan shall be implemented in full in accordance with the agreed terms and conditions.

Reason: To ensure the continued operation and maintenance of drainage features serving the site and avoid flooding in accordance with Policies ES3 and ES4 of the adopted Stroud District Local Plan, November 2015.

11. The development hereby permitted shall not begin until a scheme to deal with ground contamination, controlled waters and/or ground gas has been submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority. The scheme shall include all of the following measures, unless the Local Planning Authority dispenses with any such requirement specifically in writing:
- i). A Phase I site investigation carried out by a competent person to include a desk study, site walkover, the production of a site conceptual model and a human health and environmental risk assessment, undertaken in accordance with BS 10175:2011 + A2:2017 Investigation of Potentially Contaminated Sites - Code of Practice.
 - ii). If identified as required by the above approved Phase 1 site investigation report, a Phase 2 intrusive investigation report detailing all investigative works and sampling on site, together with the results of the analysis, undertaken in accordance with BS 10175:2011 + A2:2017 Investigation of Potentially Contaminated Sites - Code of Practice. Where required, the report shall include a detailed quantitative human health and environmental risk assessment.
 - iii). If identified as required by the above approved Phase 2 intrusive investigation report, a remediation scheme detailing how the remediation will be undertaken, what methods will be used and what is to be achieved. A clear end-point of the remediation should be stated, such as site contaminant levels or a risk management action, as well as how this will be validated. Any ongoing



**Development Control Committee Schedule
23/11/2021**

monitoring should also be outlined.

No deviation shall be made from this scheme without prior written approval from the Local Planning Authority.

No part of the development hereby permitted shall be occupied until:

iv). Any previously unidentified contamination encountered during the works has been fully assessed and an appropriate remediation scheme submitted to and approved the Local Planning Authority.

v). A verification report detailing the remediation works undertaken and quality assurance certificates to show that the works have been carried out in full accordance with the approved methodology has been submitted to, and approved by, the Local Planning Authority. Details of any post-remedial sampling and analysis to show that the site has reached the required clean-up criteria shall be included, together with the necessary documentation detailing what waste materials have been removed from the site.

For further details, as to how to comply with this condition, please contact Katie Larner, Senior Contaminated Land Officer - tel: (01453) 754469.

Reason: To ensure that risks from land contamination is understood prior to works on site both during the construction phase to the future users of the land and neighbouring land are minimised, together with those to controlled waters, property and ecological systems, and to ensure that the development can be carried out safely without unacceptable risks to workers, neighbours and other offsite receptors.

12. No construction site machinery or plant shall be operated, no process shall be carried out and no construction related deliveries taken except between the hours of 08:00hrs and 18:00hrs on Monday to Fridays, between 08:00hrs and 13:00hrs on Saturdays and not at any time on Sundays, Bank or Public Holidays.

Reason: To protect the amenity of the locality, especially for the people living/ or working nearby, in accordance with Policy ES3 of the Stroud District Local Plan, adopted 2015.

13. No demolition or construction shall take place until a Demolition and construction management plan or construction method statement has be submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority. The approved plan/statement shall be adhered to throughout the demolition/construction period. The plan/statement shall include but not be restricted to:

i) Parking of vehicle of site operatives and visitors (including



**Development Control Committee Schedule
23/11/2021**

measures taken to ensure satisfactory access and movement for existing occupiers of neighbouring properties during construction);
 ii) Routes for construction traffic;
 iii) Any temporary access to the site;
 iv) Locations for loading/unloading and storage of plant, waste and construction materials;
 v) Method of preventing mud and dust being carried onto the highway (eg wheel washing facilities);
 vi) measures to control the emission of dust and dirt during demolition and construction
 vii) Arrangements for turning vehicles;
 viii) Arrangements to receive abnormal loads or unusually large vehicles; and
 ix) Methods of communicating the Construction Management Plan to staff, visitors and neighbouring residents and businesses.

Reason: To reduce the potential impact on the public highway, accommodate the efficient delivery of goods and supplies and in the interest of the amenities of the surrounding area and local residents in accordance Policies CP13, CP14 and ES3 of the adopted Stroud District Local Plan, November 2015.

14. Prior to the first occupation of the development hereby permitted the means of access for vehicles and pedestrians shall be constructed and completed in accordance with the approved plans.

Reason: In the interests of highway safety in accordance Policies CP13, CP14 and ES3 of the adopted Stroud District Local Plan, November 2015.

15. Prior to the commencement of the development hereby permitted visibility splays shall be provided in accordance with the approved plans. Nothing shall be planted, erected and/or allowed to grow on the triangular area of the land so formed which would obstruct the visibility described above.

Reason: In the interests of highway safety in accordance Policies CP13, CP14 and ES3 of the adopted Stroud District Local Plan, November 2015.

16. Prior to the first occupation of the development hereby permitted the car/vehicle parking areas (and turning space) shown on the approved plans shall be completed and thereafter the areas shall be kept free of obstruction and available for the parking of vehicles associated with the development.



**Development Control Committee Schedule
23/11/2021**

	<p>Reason: To ensure that there are adequate parking facilities to serve the development in accordance Policies CP13, CP14 and ES3 of the adopted Stroud District Local Plan, November 2015.</p> <p>17. Prior to the first occupation of the development hereby permitted the cycle storage facilities shall be made available for use in accordance with the approved plans and those facilities shall be maintained for the duration of the development.</p> <p>Reason: To promote sustainable travel and healthy communities and to accord with Policies CP8 and E112 of the adopted Stroud District Local Plan, November 2015.</p> <p>18. The dwellings hereby approved shall not be occupied until the infrastructure for Electric Vehicle Charging points for each of the dwellings has been installed. For the avoidance of doubt the infrastructure shall include ducting, electric cable to a dedicated consumer point on each dwelling. Thereafter the development shall be retained as such or replaced with charging equipment of the same, or improved specification.</p> <p>Reason: To promote sustainable travel and healthy communities and to accord with Policies CP8 and E112 of the adopted Stroud District Local Plan, November 2015.</p> <p>Informatives:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To help preserve the trees on the site in the interests of visual amenity it is recommended that prior to commencement of the development (including any ground clearance, tree works, demolition, or construction) a pre-commencement meeting must take place with the main contractor/ground workers with the local planning authority tree officer. 2. The development hereby approved will involve works to be carried out in the public highway and the applicant/developer is required to enter into a legally binding Highways Works Agreement (including appropriate bond) with Gloucestershire County Council before works commence. The Agreement will specify the works and the terms and conditions under which they are to be carried out.
--	---

This drawing is the copyright of West Waddy: ADP and may not be copied or reproduced with written permission. The Copyright Order 1990 provides for the Planning Authority to copy and distribute drawings for public inspection in relation to a Planning Application only if those copies are marked in the following manner:


"This copy has been made with the authority of West Waddy: ADP pursuant to Section 47 of the Copyright Designs and Patents Act 1988 and for the purposes only of public inspection. This copy must not be copied without the prior written permission of the Copyright owner."



Do not scale from drawings unless for planning purposes only. Use figured dimensions at all other times. In case of doubt contact West Waddy: ADP

Dimensions to be checked on site before work commences and any discrepancies reported to the Architect.


The accuracy of this drawing may be reliant upon survey information provided by third parties. No liability will be accepted by West Waddy: ADP for errors in or arising from such third party survey information.

PLANNING

KEY
 Application extents (3415m²)



©Crown Copyright and database rights 2020 OS Licence no. 100019980



Rev	Date	Revisions	Initials	Checked

STROUD DISTRICT COUNCIL

SITE LOCATION PLAN

The Malthouse
 60 East St. Helen Street
 Abingdon, Oxfordshire, OX14 5EB
 Tel (01235) 523139
 Fax (01235) 521652
 e-mail: enquires@westwaddy-adp.co.uk



Date 24/02/2021

Scale 1:1250 @ A3

Drawn JH | Checked JH

908 -WW- B1 - 00 - A -0000-
 Document ID

Agenda Item 4.2
 Appendix

This drawing is the copyright of West Waddy: ADP and may not be copied or reproduced with written permission. The Copyright Order 1990 provides for the Planning Authority to copy and distribute drawings for public inspection in relation to a Planning Application only if those copies are marked in the following manner:

"This copy has been made with the authority of West Waddy: ADP pursuant to Section 47 of the Copyright Designs and Patents Act 1988 and for the purposes only of public inspection. This copy must not be copied without the prior written permission of the Copyright owner."

Do not scale from drawings unless for planning purposes only. Use figured dimensions at all other times. In case of doubt contact West Waddy: ADP

Dimensions to be checked on site before work commences and any discrepancies reported to the Architect.

The accuracy of this drawing may be reliant upon survey information provided by third parties. No liability will be accepted by West Waddy: ADP for errors in or arising from such third party survey information.

PLANNING

KEY

- Roof Material: TATA Steel Urban colourcoat standing seam roofing - Merlin grey (BS18825)
- Wall Material: Buff brick
- Wall Material: Natural finish vertical timber cladding
- Boundary Treatment: Buff brick screen wall see drawing 908_501
- Boundary Treatment: Timber Fence see drawing 908_500
- Landscape Material: Marshalls Tegula Priora Paving in Harvest 120mm x 160mm
- Landscape Material: Buff River Concrete Pavers 450mm x 450mm



Rev	Date	Revisions	Initials	Checked
A	18/10/2021	Drawing updated to reflect changes to site layout parking arrangement by plots 11-13	JH	JH

STROUD DISTRICT COUNCIL

PARAMETER PLAN MATERIALITY

The Malthouse
60 East St. Helen Street
Abingdon, Oxfordshire, OX14 5EB
Tel (01235) 523139
Fax (01235) 521662
e-mail: enquires@westwaddy-adp.co.uk



Date 05/08/20

Scale 1:200 @A1, 1:400 @A3

Drawn MHi Checked JH

Ref Org Volume Level Role Number Revision

908 -WW- B1 - XX - A -0014- A

Document ID

This drawing is the copyright of West Waddy: ADP and may not be copied or reproduced with written permission. The Copyright Order 1990 provides for the Planning Authority to copy and distribute drawings for public inspection in relation to a Planning Application only if those copies are marked in the following manner:

"This copy has been made with the authority of West Waddy: ADP pursuant to Section 47 of the Copyright Designs and Patents Act 1988 and for the purposes only of public inspection. This copy must not be copied without the prior written permission of the Copyright owner."

Do not scale from drawings unless for planning purposes only. Use figured dimensions at all other times. In case of doubt contact West Waddy: ADP


Dimensions to be checked on site before work commences and any discrepancies reported to the Architect.

The accuracy of this drawing may be reliant upon survey information provided by third parties. No liability will be accepted by West Waddy: ADP for errors in or arising from such third party survey information.



House Type 01 2 Bed / 4 Person [81 Sq.m]
 House Type 01 2 Bed / 4 Person [81 Sq.m]
 House Type 02 2 Bed / 4 Person [84 Sq.m]


Elevation 01 [Front Elevation]



House Type 02 2 Bed / 4 Person [84 Sq.m]


Elevation 02 [Side Elevation]

PLANNING



House Type 02 2 Bed / 4 Person [84 Sq.m]
 House Type 01 2 Bed / 4 Person [81 Sq.m]
 House Type 01 2 Bed / 4 Person [81 Sq.m]

Elevation 03 [Rear Elevation]



House Type 01 2 Bed / 4 Person [81 Sq.m]

Elevation 04 [Side Elevation]



Rev	Date	Revisions	Initials	Checked
P04	03/11/2021	Materiality modification as per local authority comments	CK	JH
P03	08/10/2020	Minor Amendments to reflect client comments	CK	JH
P02	04/08/2020	Internal design updates	MHi	JH
P01	21/07/2020	Design Development	MHi	PW

STROUD DISTRICT COUNCIL
 HOUSE TYPES 01 & 02 ELEVATIONS

The Malthouse
 60 East St. Helen Street
 Abingdon, Oxfordshire, OX14 5EB
 Tel (01235) 523139
 Fax (01235) 521662
 e-mail: enquires@westwaddy-adp.co.uk

westwaddy

Date 21/07/2021
 Scale 1:50 @ A1,
 Drawn MHi Checked PW
 Ref 908 -WW- B1 - XX - A - 0111 - P04
 Document ID


This drawing is the copyright of West Waddy: ADP and may not be copied or reproduced with written permission. The Copyright Order 1990 provides for the Planning Authority to copy and distribute drawings for public inspection in relation to a Planning Application only if those copies are marked in the following manner:

"This copy has been made with the authority of West Waddy: ADP pursuant to Section 47 of the Copyright Designs and Patents Act 1988 and for the purposes only of public inspection. This copy must not be copied without the prior written permission of the Copyright owner."

Do not scale from drawings unless for planning purposes only. Use figured dimensions at all other times. In case of doubt contact West Waddy: ADP


Dimensions to be checked on site before work commences and any discrepancies reported to the Architect.

The accuracy of this drawing may be reliant upon survey information provided by third parties. No liability will be accepted by West Waddy: ADP for errors in or arising from such third party survey information.




House Type 03
3 Bed / 5 Person
[93 Sq.m]

Ground Floor Layouts



First Floor Layouts

PLANNING



Elevation 01
[Front Elevation]



Rev	Date	Revisions	Initials	Checked
P05	08/10/2020	Materiality modification as per local authority comments	CK	JH
P04	12/08/2020	Internal design updates	CK	JH
P03	10/08/2020	Internal design updates	MHi	JH
P02	04/08/2020	Internal design updates	MHi	JH
P01	21/07/2020	Design Development	MHi	PW

STROUD DISTRICT COUNCIL

**HOUSE TYPE 03
PLANS & ELEVATION**

The Malthouse
60 East St. Helen Street
Abingdon, Oxfordshire, OX14 5EB
Tel (01235) 523139
Fax (01235) 521662
e-mail: enquires@westwaddy-adp.co.uk

westwaddy

Date: 21/07/20
Scale: 1:50 @ A1,
Drawn: MHi Checked: PW

Ref: 908 -WW- B1 - XX - A - 0112 - P05
Document ID

This drawing is the copyright of West Waddy: ADP and may not be copied or reproduced with written permission. The Copyright Order 1990 provides for the Planning Authority to copy and distribute drawings for public inspection in relation to a Planning Application only if those copies are marked in the following manner:



"This copy has been made with the authority of West Waddy: ADP pursuant to Section 47 of the Copyright Designs and Patents Act 1988 and for the purposes only of public inspection. This copy must not be copied without the prior written permission of the Copyright owner."

Do not scale from drawings unless for planning purposes only. Use figured dimensions at all other times. In case of doubt contact West Waddy: ADP


Dimensions to be checked on site before work commences and any discrepancies reported to the Architect.

The accuracy of this drawing may be reliant upon survey information provided by third parties. No liability will be accepted by West Waddy: ADP for errors in or arising from such third party survey information.

PLANNING



Elevation 02
[Side Elevation]



Elevation 04
[Side Elevation]



Elevation 03
[Rear Elevation]



Rev	Date	Revisions	Initials	Checked
P04	03/11/2021	Materiality modification as per local authority client	CK	JH
P03	12/08/2020	Window design changes	CK	JH
P02	10/08/2020	Internal design updates	MHi	JH
P01	04/08/2020	Design Development	MHi	JH

STROUD DISTRICT COUNCIL

HOUSE TYPE 03 ELEVATIONS

The Malthouse
60 East St. Helen Street
Abingdon, Oxfordshire, OX14 5EB
Tel (01235) 523139
Fax (01235) 521662
e-mail: enquires@westwaddy-adp.co.uk

westwaddy

Date: 21/07/2021
Scale: 1:50 @ A1,
Drawn: MHi Checked: PW
Ref: 908 -WW- B1 - XX - A - 0113 - P04
Document ID

This drawing is the copyright of West Waddy: ADP and may not be copied or reproduced with written permission. The Copyright Order 1990 provides for the Planning Authority to copy and distribute drawings for public inspection in relation to a Planning Application only if those copies are marked in the following manner:


"This copy has been made with the authority of West Waddy: ADP pursuant to Section 47 of the Copyright Designs and Patents Act 1988 and for the purposes only of public inspection. This copy must not be copied without the prior written permission of the Copyright owner."

Do not scale from drawings unless for planning purposes only. Use figured dimensions at all other times. In case of doubt contact West Waddy: ADP

Dimensions to be checked on site before work commences and any discrepancies reported to the Architect.

The accuracy of this drawing may be reliant upon survey information provided by third parties. No liability will be accepted by West Waddy: ADP for errors in or arising from such third party survey information.

PLANNING



House Type 04
2 Bed / 4 Person
[79 Sq.m]

House Type 05
2 Bed / 4 Person
[82 Sq.m]

House Type 04
2 Bed / 4 Person
[79 Sq.m]

Elevation 01
[Front Elevation]



House Type 04
2 Bed / 4 Person
[79 Sq.m]

Elevation 02
[Side Elevation]



House Type 04
2 Bed / 4 Person
[79 Sq.m]

House Type 05
2 Bed / 4 Person
[82 Sq.m]

House Type 04
2 Bed / 4 Person
[79 Sq.m]

Elevation 03
[Rear Elevation]



House Type 04
2 Bed / 4 Person
[79 Sq.m]

Elevation 04
[Side Elevation]

Rev	Date	Revisions	Initials	Checked
P06	03/11/2021	Materiality modification as per local authority comments	CK	JH
P05	08/10/2020	Minor Amendments for Client Comments	CK	JH
P04	22/09/2020	PV Cells added to front elevation.	JH	JH
P03	10/08/2020	Internal design updates	MHi	JH
P02	04/08/2020	Internal design updates	MHi	JH
P01	21/07/2020	Design Development	MHi	PW

STROUD DISTRICT COUNCIL

HOUSE TYPES 04 & 05 ELEVATIONS

The Malthouse
60 East St. Helen Street
Abingdon, Oxfordshire, OX14 5EB
Tel (01235) 523139
Fax (01235) 521662
e-mail: enquires@westwaddy-adp.co.uk



Date 21/07/20

Scale 1:50 @ A1,

Drawn MHi Checked PW

Ref | Org | Volume | Level | Role | Number | Revision |

908 -WW- B1 - XX - A - 0115 - P06

Document ID

This drawing is the copyright of West Waddy: ADP and may not be copied or reproduced with written permission. The Copyright Order 1990 provides for the Planning Authority to copy and distribute drawings for public inspection in relation to a Planning Application only if those copies are marked in the following manner:


"This copy has been made with the authority of West Waddy: ADP pursuant to Section 47 of the Copyright Designs and Patents Act 1988 and for the purposes only of public inspection. This copy must not be copied without the prior written permission of the Copyright owner."

Do not scale from drawings unless for planning purposes only. Use figured dimensions at all other times. In case of doubt contact West Waddy: ADP

Dimensions to be checked on site before work commences and any discrepancies reported to the Architect.

The accuracy of this drawing may be reliant upon survey information provided by third parties. No liability will be accepted by West Waddy: ADP for errors in or arising from such third party survey information.

PLANNING



Street Elevation 02
[Cambridge Avenue]



Street Elevation 01



Street Elevation 03

Rev	Date	Revisions	Initials	Checked
P04	03/11/2021	Materiality modification as per local authority comments	CK	JH
P03	08/10/2020	Minor Amendments for Client Comments	CK	GF
P02	04/08/2020	Internal design updates	MHi	JH
P01	21/07/2020	Elevation design / housetype development	MHi	PW

STROUD DISTRICT COUNCIL

PROPOSED STREETSCENE

The Malthouse
60 East St. Helen Street
Abingdon, Oxfordshire, OX14 5EB
Tel (01235) 523139
Fax (01235) 521662
e-mail: enquires@westwaddy-adp.co.uk



Date 21/07/2021

Scale 1:200 @ A1,

Drawn MHi Checked PW

Ref Org Volume Level Role Number Revision

908 -WW- B1 - XX - A - 0200 - P04

Document ID

This page is intentionally left blank